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Syntaphilin controls a mitochondrial rheostat for proliferation-motility decisions in cancer
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Tumors adapt to an unfavorable microenvironment by controlling the balance between cell proliferation and cell motility, but the regulators of this process are largely unknown. Here, we show that an alternatively spliced isoform of syntaphilin (SNPH), a cytoskeletal regulator of mitochondrial movements in neurons, is directed to mitochondria of tumor cells. Mitochondrial SNPH buffers oxidative stress and maintains complex II–dependent bioenergetics, sustaining local tumor growth while restricting mitochondrial redistribution to the cortical cytoskeleton and tumor cell motility. Conversely, introduction of stress stimuli to the microenvironment, including hypoxia, acutely lowered SNPH levels, resulting in bioenergetics defects and increased superoxide production. In turn, this suppressed tumor cell proliferation but increased tumor cell invasion via greater mitochondrial trafficking to the cortical cytoskeleton. Loss of SNPH or expression of an SNPH mutant lacking the mitochondrial localization sequence resulted in increased metastatic dissemination in xenograft or syngeneic tumor models in vivo. Accordingly, tumor cells that acquired the ability to metastasize in vivo constitutively downregulated SNPH and exhibited higher oxidative stress, reduced cell proliferation, and increased cell motility. Therefore, SNPH is a stress-regulated mitochondrial switch of the cell proliferation-motility balance in cancer, and its pathway may represent a therapeutic target.

Introduction

Tumors must cope with an unpredictable microenvironment depleted of oxygen and nutrients but saturated in oxidative radicals, toxins, and immune-inflammatory mediators (1). This requires a process of adaptation, or “plasticity” (2), that improves tumor fitness via genetic and nongenetic changes (3, 4), buffers stress signals (5), and reprograms metabolism for tailored bioenergetics needs (6).

A key decision in tumor adaptation is how best to allocate limited resources in the microenvironment (1) to either support cell proliferation, and therefore local tumor growth; or, conversely, stimulate cell motility (7), thus enabling the dissemination of transformed cells to distant organs, or metastasis (8). Both responses are intensely energy-intensive, and it has been speculated that tumors can undergo dynamic cycles of each process, but not both at the same time in a mechanism called cell proliferation-motility dichotomy (9), or phenotype-switching (10). Although this process may dictate metastatic competence and ultimately influence disease outcome, its mechanistic underpinnings (9) have remained elusive (11), with only a handful of regulators of cell cycle transitions (12), transcriptional (13) and translational (14) programs, and membrane dynamics of cell motility (15) potentially implicated in phenotype switching (16).

In this context, a role for metabolic reprogramming in phenotype switching has not been widely investigated. We know that most tumors adopt a mostly glycolytic metabolism, the so-called Warburg effect (6), but recent evidence has reinforced a central role of mitochondrial biology as a driver of cancer traits (17, 18), in particular metastatic competence (19), even under microenvironment stress (20). Several mechanisms have been implicated in this process, including modulation of oxidative stress (21); cycles of organelle fusion and fission, i.e. dynamics (22); and horizontal transfer of respiration-competent mitochondria from stromal cells (23, 24). Recently, an additional mechanism of active mitochondrial trafficking to the cortical cytoskeleton has been linked to greater tumor cell invasion and metastasis (25, 26), potentially by providing a concentrated, “regional” energy source to fuel membrane dynamics of chemotaxis and tumor cell movements. Unexpectedly, a key regulator of this pathway was identified as syntaphilin (SNPH), a cytoskeletal protein known in neurons for arresting mitochondrial movement at sites of high energy demands (27, 28). Instead, SNPH was found to be expressed in cancer, but downregulated in advanced disease settings, blocking mitochondrial trafficking to the cortical cytoskeleton and suppressing cell motility and invasion (29).

In this study, we explored the complexity of the SNPH pathway (29) as a potential regulator of proliferation-motility decisions in tumors (7). We identified an alternatively spliced isoform of SNPH that localizes to mitochondria of tumor cells and orchestrates bioenergetics, buffering of ROS, and differential modulation of tumor cell proliferation versus cell invasion and dissemination, in vivo.
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Results

Identification of alternatively spliced SNPH isoforms. A genome-wide shRNA screen recently identified SNPH as a regulator of mitochondrial trafficking in tumors and potential metastasis suppressor (29). Here, inspection of the human SNPH locus indicated the presence of at least two SNPH transcripts, potentially originated by alternative splicing of the 5′ end of the SNPH gene (Figure 1A). This process generated a previously unrecognized short SNPH isoform (S-SNPH) of 494 amino acids containing a mitochondrial localization sequence (MLS) embedded in a new amino-terminal region (Figure 1, A and B). In contrast, a long SNPH isoform (L-SNPH) of 538 amino acids corresponded to previously described “neuronal” SNPH (27, 28), containing an extra 44-amino-acid NH2-proline-rich region and no MLS (Figure 1, A and B). Downstream of the first 44 residues, the two SNPH proteins were identical (Figure 1, A and B).

We next used gene expression assays that individually detect L-SNPH or S-SNPH (Figure 1A) to map the distribution and absolute abundance of the two isoforms in human tissues and cell lines. L-SNPH was expressed in normal brain but mostly undetectable in all other tissues examined, including breast, colon, heart, kidney, liver, and lung, and present at a low level in the prostate (Figure 1C). Unexpectedly, S-SNPH was expressed at levels comparable to or higher than those of L-SNPH in the brain, and was present in other tissues, including heart, kidney, lung, and prostate (Figure 1C). S-SNPH was also the dominant isoform in normal and tumor cell lines, whereas L-SNPH was present at a low level or undetectable (Figure 1D). Similar results were obtained with analysis of public databases, with broad expression of SNPH in all human tumors examined, albeit at different levels (Supplemental Figure 1A; supplemental material available online with this article; https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI93172DS1), as well as human cell lines representative of disparate tumor types (Supplemental Figure 1B).

Consistent with a predicted MLS (Figure 1B), S-SNPH (hereafter referred to as SNPH) was detected by Western blotting in both cytosol and mitochondria of prostate adenocarcinoma PC3 cells (Figure 1E). Similarly, SNPH transfected in breast adeno-
carcinoma MCF-7 cells, which are devoid of endogenous SNPH (Figure 1D; see complete unedited blots in the supplemental material.), localized to mitochondria, by fluorescence microscopy (Figure 1F). In terms of submitochondrial distribution, endogenous SNPH localized to both the inner and outer mitochondrial membranes of tumor cells, whereas matrix and inter-membrane space were unreactive (Figure 1G).

Mitochondrial SNPH regulates bioenergetics. To probe the function of SNPH in mitochondria, we next used multiple independent siRNA sequences that silence the expression of SNPH mRNA (Supplemental Figure 1C) and protein (Supplemental Figure 1D) in tumor and normal cell types. As an additional, alternative approach, we generated two independent clones of PC3 cells with stable knockdown of SNPH by short hairpin RNA (shRNA) (Supplemental Figure 1E). As cellular models for the targeting experiments, we focused on PC3 and glioblastoma LN229 cells, representative of neuroendocrine and CNS origin, respectively, with high endogenous SNPH expression (Figure 1D). SNPH siRNA silencing did not affect total mitochondrial mass, compared with control transfectants (Supplemental Figure 2A). Conversely, shRNA-mediated loss of SNPH decreased oxygen consumption rates (OCR), a marker of oxidative metabolism (Figure 2A), and reduced overall adenosine triphosphate (ATP) production in PC3 cells (Figure 2B). Glycolytic metabolism was also affected, with a modest, but significant, decrease in glucose consumption (Supplemental Figure 2B) and lactate production (Supplemental Figure 2C) after shRNA-SNPH knockdown. To validate these results, we next carried out reconstitution experiments in which SNPH-depleted PC3 cells were transduced with adenovirus expressing SNPH (Ad-SNPH) (Supplemental Figure 2, D and E). Under these conditions, reexpression of SNPH restored OCR (Figure 2C) and ATP production (Figure 2D), whereas Ad-LacZ (Supplemental Figure 2, D and E) had no effect (Figure 2, C and D).

To further characterize the role of SNPH in mitochondrial metabolism, we next looked at the activity of individual oxidative phosphorylation complexes. Stable depletion of SNPH in PC3 cells reduced the activity of mitochondrial complex II (Figure 2, E and F), but not complex I (Figure 2, G and H), compared with control transfectants. This was associated with decreased expression of...
Oxidative Stress Regulation of Mitochondrial Oxidative Phosphorylation Complexes

The complex II subunits succinate dehydrogenase A (SDHA) and B (SDHB) (Supplemental Figure 2F), whereas SDHC or complex III or complex V subunits were not affected (Supplemental Figure 2F and Supplemental Figure 1E). Consistent with these findings, shRNA-SNPH knockdown resulted in accelerated degradation of SDHA and SDHB (Figure 2F), and significantly shortened the half-life of both proteins, as quantified in cycloheximide (CHX) block experiments (Figure 2J). Other subunits of oxidative phosphorylation complexes, including ATP5A (complex V) and UQCR2 (complex III), were not affected (Figure 2F), and the half-life of COX-IV (complex IV) was unchanged with or without SNPH knockdown (Supplemental Figure 2G).

Mitochondrial SNPH regulation of oxidative stress. Consistent with defective mitochondrial bioenergetics, shRNA-SNPH knockdown in PC3 cells resulted in increased production of total ROS (Figure 3A). Specifically, loss of SNPH was associated with heightened generation of mitochondria-derived superoxide, compared with control transfectants (Figure 3B). Known cellular markers of oxidative stress, including hyperoxidation of peroxiredoxin 3 (Prx3) (Supplemental Figure 3B), and increased NAD+/NADH ratio (Figure 3C) were also elevated in these settings. In rescue experiments, transfection of shRNA-SNPH–depleted cells with cDNA encoding the antioxidant SOD2 or Prx3 (Supplemental Figure 3B) reversed the increase in total cellular ROS (Figure 3A), as well as mitochondrial superoxide production (Supplemental Figure 3C).

Based on these observations, we next asked whether oxidative damage affected the stability and/or function of mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation complex subunits. Consistent with this possibility, treatment of PC3 cells with the oxidative stress stimulus 2,3-dimethoxy-1,4-naphthoquinone (DMNQ) resulted in a concentration-dependent decrease in SDHA and SDHB expression (Figure 3D and Supplemental Figure 3D), whereas SDHC or complex III or complex V subunits were not affected (Supplemental Figure 2G and Supplemental Figure 1E). Consistent with these findings, shRNA-SNPH knockdown resulted in accelerated degradation of SDHA and SDHB (Figure 2I), and significantly shortened the half-life of both proteins, as quantified in cycloheximide (CHX) block experiments (Figure 2J). Other subunits of oxidative phosphorylation complexes, including ATP5A (complex V) and UQCR2 (complex III), were not affected (Figure 2I), and the half-life of COX-IV (complex IV) was unchanged with or without SNPH knockdown (Supplemental Figure 2G).
increased chemotaxis associated with SNPH depletion (Supplementary Figure 4C), reducing the speed of cell movements (Supplemental Figure 4D) and the distance traveled by individual cells (Figure 4E). Similar results were obtained with an independent experimental approach, where transfection of SNPH-depleted LN229 cells with antioxidant SOD2 or Prx3 also reversed the increased chemotaxis induced by SNPH loss (Figure 4C), with comparable inhibition of the speed of cell migration (Figure 4D) and distance traveled per cell (Figure 4E) to the levels of control cultures.

Mitochondrial SNPH differentially regulates tumor cell proliferation. In addition to increased cell motility (Figure 4C and Supplemental Figure 4D), SNPH depletion blocked tumor cell proliferation (Figure 5A, top) without loss of cell viability (Figure 5A, bottom). Importantly, tumor cells lacking endogenous SNPH, including C4-2B or breast adenocarcinoma MCF-7 cells (Supplemental Figure 5A), were not affected (red boxes in Figure 5A, top). Consistent with these data, loss of SNPH also suppressed colony formation in semisolid medium (Figure 5, B and C). In reciprocal experiments, forced expression of SNPH was sufficient to increase tumor cell proliferation, compared with control transfection (Figure 5D). The requirement(s) of SNPH regulation of tumor cell proliferation was next investigated. SNPH depletion did not appreciably affect BrdU incorporation (Supplemental Figure 5B), a measure of S-phase, but significantly increased the percentage of cells with G2/M DNA content (Supplemental Figure 5C), suggestive of mitotic arrest. Consistent with this possibility, SNPH depletion was associated with increased levels of mitotic cyclin B1, whereas the expression...
of cyclin A or cyclin D1 was unchanged (Supplemental Figure 5D). Mechanistically, transfection of SNPH-depleted cells with antioxidant SOD2 cDNA restored tumor cell proliferation (Figure 5E) and reversed the cell cycle arrest at G0/M (Figure 5F), compared with control transfection.

**Mitochondrial SNPH modulates cell proliferation–cell motility decisions in cancer.** Next, we asked whether the mitochondrial localization of this SNPH isoform was required for tumor functions. Reconstitution of shRNA-SNPH–silenced PC3 cells with full-length (FL) SNPH (Supplemental Figure 6A) reversed the bioenergetic defects in oxygen consumption (Figure 6A) and ATP production (Figure 6B) associated with SNPH depletion. Conversely, reconstitution of these cells with a SNPH mutant lacking the MLS (Δ-MLS) was ineffective (Figure 6, A and B, and Supplemental Figure 6A). In these studies, FL SNPH and Δ-MLS SNPH were expressed at comparable levels in reconstituted PC3 cells (Supplemental Figure 6A). Next, we examined the requirement of mitochondrial localization in SNPH regulation of mitochondrial movement and cell motility/proliferation. Consistent with the data above, forced expression of FL SNPH in C4-2B cells (Supplemental Figure 6B) inhibited mitochondrial trafficking to the cortical cytoskeleton (Figure 6C) and promoted increased cell proliferation (Figure 6D), compared with control transfection. Conversely, expression of Δ-MLS SNPH in C4-2B cells (Supplemental Figure 6B) was considerably less effective, partially reducing mitochondrial trafficking (Figure 6C) and modestly increasing cell proliferation (Figure 6D). Similar results were obtained in LN229 cells, where FL SNPH inhibited mitochondrial trafficking (Supplemental Figure 6C) and promoted cell proliferation (Supplemental Figure 6D), whereas Δ-MLS SNPH had a limited effect (Supplemental Figure 6, C and D). Finally, reconstitution of shRNA-SNPH–depleted PC3 cells with FL SNPH abolished the increase in tumor cell invasion induced by SNPH loss, whereas Δ-MLS SNPH had no effect (Figure 6E and Supplemental Figure 6E).

Based on these observations, we next asked whether the localization of SNPH to mitochondria was required to modulate tumor cell dissemination in vivo. For these experiments, we utilized the mCherry-labeled Yumm1.7 cell line, derived from a genetically engineered mouse model of invasive melanoma carrying the genotype Braf<sup>Neuro</sup>; Cdkn2a<sup>−/−</sup>;Pten<sup>−/−</sup> (31) and utilized in recent studies (32). For these experiments, Yumm1.7 cells expressing negligible levels of endogenous SNPH (Supplemental Figure 6F) were stably transfected with vector or SNPH variants and first analyzed for cell motility/proliferation responses in culture. Transfection of FL SNPH suppressed Yumm1.7 cell invasion across Matrigel, compared with vector control transfection (Supplemental Figure 6G) and in agreement with the data above. In contrast, expression of Δ-MLS SNPH had no effect in Yumm1.7 cells (Supplemental Figure 6G). In these experiments, expression of FL SNPH or Δ-MLS SNPH did not significantly modulate proliferation of Yumm1.7 cells (Supplemental Figure 6H). When reconstituted subcutaneously in syngeneic C57BL/6 mice, Yumm1.7 cells expressing vector disseminated to the lung, as quantified by immunohistochemistry of mCherry reactivity (Figure 6, F and G), and in agreement with recent observations (32). Under these conditions, expression of FL SNPH abolished metastatic seeding of Yumm1.7 cells to the lungs, whereas transfection of Δ-MLS SNPH was associated with increased tumor cell dissemination in vivo (Figure 6, F and G).
Reciprocal regulation of tumor growth and metastasis by SNPH. We next carried out a reciprocal experiment in vivo and analyzed the xenograft growth of PC3 cells stably transduced with two independent shRNA-SNPHs in immunocompromised mice. In these experiments, stable depletion of SNPH suppressed the growth of superficial PC3 xenograft tumors, compared with pLKO transfection (Figure 7A). To further investigate the role of this pathway in metastasis, we isolated pLKO-transfected cells that had spontaneously metastasized to the lung or liver of engrafted animals. These lung or liver metastatic cell lines showed constitutive downregulation of SNPH (Figure 7B) and markers of oxidative stress, including greater mitochondrial superoxide production (Figure 7C) and Prx hyperoxidation and reduced SOD2 levels (Figure 7D). In line with these findings, analysis of public databases revealed that metastatic breast cancer (Supplemental Figure 7A) or metastatic prostate cancer (Supplemental Figure 7B) had reduced levels of SNPH compared with the corresponding primary tumor. Phenocopying the effect of SNPH silencing, loss of endogenous SNPH in metastatic tumor cells was associated with Prx hyperoxidation and diminished complex II activity (Figure 7D) and lower OCR (Supplemental Figure 7C), diminished complex II activity (Figure 7F) and lower OCR (Figure 7G).

When analyzed for changes in the cell proliferation-motility balance, lung or liver metastatic cells showed reduced colony formation (Figure 7F and G) but increased 2D chemotaxis (Figure 7H), characterized by greater speed of cell migration (Figure 7I) and greater distance traveled by individual cells (Supplemental Figure 7F). Finally, these metastatic cells expressed markers of epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT), including β-catenin, Slug, and vimentin (Figure 7J), whereas ZO1 modulation was observed in lung but not liver metastatic cells, and claudin 1 expression was unchanged (Figure 7J).

Stress-regulated exploitation of the SNPH pathway in cancer. Next, we asked whether stress conditions of the tumor microenvironment affected SNPH levels in tumors. Exposure of PC3 cells to hypoxia (1% O2 for 24 hours) acutely downregulated SNPH levels (Figure 8A) as early as 3 hours after treatment (Supplemental Figure 8A), and was associated with Prx hyperoxidation and HIF1α stabilization (Figure 8A). Similar findings were observed in cases of clear cell renal cell carcinoma in vivo, where HIF1α stabilization due to mutations or deletions in its negative regulator von Hippel-Lindau (VHL) (33) (Figure 8B) or decreased VHL copy number (Supplemental Figure 8B) correlated with reduced levels of SNPH. Functionally, loss of SNPH in hypoxic cells was associated with increased mitochondrial trafficking to the cortical cytoskeleton (Figure 8, C and D) and greater tumor cell motility, as determined in a wound closure assay (Supplemental Figure 8, C and D). Oxidative stress generated by DMNQ treatment also lowered SNPH protein (Figure 8E) and mRNA (Figure 8F) expression in PC3 cells.

Finally, we asked whether the SNPH pathway was selectively exploited in cancer, compared with normal cells. Silencing of SNPH in primary human HFFs or normal prostate epithelial RWPE1 cells had marginal effects on cellular bioenergetics, with a modest decrease in OCR (Figure 8G) and ATP production (Figure 8H). Similarly, SNPH silencing in HFF cultures did not generate oxidative stress, with no Prx3 hyperoxidation observed in these settings (Supplemental Figure 8E). Finally, depletion of SNPH in either HFFs or RWPE1 cells did not affect 2D chemotaxis (Figure 8I), with no changes observed in the speed of cell migration (Figure 8J) or distance traveled per cell (Figure 8K), compared with control transfectants.
SNPH mutant lacking the MLS dramatically increased metastatic dissemination in syngeneic as well as xenograft tumor models in vivo. Accordingly, tumor cell lines established from lung or liver metastatic sites in vivo exhibited lower levels of SNPH compared with their primary sites, higher oxidative stress, reduced cell proliferation, and heightened cell motility.

Previously considered “neuron-specific” (27), SNPH has been known as a negative regulator of mitochondrial trafficking, tethering mitochondria to cytoskeletal microtubules and halting their movements at axonal regions with high energy demands (28). Unexpectedly, a similar pathway was recently uncovered in cancer (29), where SNPH prevented the repositioning of mitochondria to the cortical cytoskeleton, a process that fuels chemotaxis and cell invasion (25, 26), thus suppressing tumor cell movements (29).

Here, we uncovered a mechanistic underpinning of the SNPH pathway in cancer, centered on the expression of a previously unrecognized, alternatively spliced SNPH isoform, characterized

**Discussion**

In this study, we have identified an alternatively spliced isoform of SNPH (27, 28) that localizes to mitochondria and functions as a stress-regulated switch for proliferation-motility decisions in cancer. High levels of SNPH maintain efficient, “non-leaky” mitochondrial bioenergetics, which sustains tumor cell proliferation while suppressing cell motility (Figure 9). Reciprocally, downregulation of SNPH due to oxidative or hypoxic stress impairs mitochondrial metabolism, shuts off cell proliferation and stimulates greater tumor cell motility and invasion (Figure 9). A critical signaling regulator of this response was mitochondria-produced superoxide, which promoted oxidative degradation of the complex II subunits SDHA and SDHB, cell cycle arrest at G2/M, and heightened mitochondrial trafficking to the cortical cytoskeleton to fuel membrane dynamics of cell motility (Figure 9). Altogether, this pathway functioned as a major switch to regulate the metastatic propensity in vivo, as depletion of SNPH or expression of a SNPH mutant lacking the MLS dramatically increased metastatic dissemination in syngeneic as well as xenograft tumor models in vivo. Accordingly, tumor cell lines established from lung or liver metastatic sites in vivo exhibited lower levels of SNPH compared with their primary sites, higher oxidative stress, reduced cell proliferation, and heightened cell motility.

Previously considered “neuron-specific” (27), SNPH has been known as a negative regulator of mitochondrial trafficking, tethering mitochondria to cytoskeletal microtubules and halting their movements at axonal regions with high energy demands (28). Unexpectedly, a similar pathway was recently uncovered in cancer (29), where SNPH prevented the repositioning of mitochondria to the cortical cytoskeleton, a process that fuels chemotaxis and cell invasion (25, 26), thus suppressing tumor cell movements (29). Here, we uncovered a mechanistic underpinning of the SNPH pathway in cancer, centered on the expression of a previously unrecognized, alternatively spliced SNPH isoform, characterized

---

**Figure 7. SNPH regulation of cell proliferation-motility in vivo.** (A) PC3 cells transduced with pLKO or shRNA-SNPH (clones 0 and 5) were injected subcutaneously in immunocompromised mice (5 mice per group; 2 tumors/mouse), and tumor volume was quantified with a caliper at the indicated time intervals. Each symbol corresponds to an individual tumor. On day 20, pLKO vs. SNPH #0, \( P < 0.001 \); pLKO vs. SNPH #5, \( P > 0.05 \), by ANOVA and Bonferroni’s post-test. (B) pLKO-transduced PC3 cells or pLKO-transduced PC3 cells isolated from a liver or lung metastatic site (Met) from the experiment in A were analyzed for SNPH mRNA levels by qPCR. Data are expressed as mean ± SD (n = 3). ***(P < 0.001 by ANOVA and Bonferroni’s post-test. (C) and (D) The metastatic cell lines in B were analyzed for mitochondrial superoxide production by mitoSOX reactivity and fluorescence microscopy (C) or Western blotting (D). Data in C are expressed as mean ± SEM of single-cell determinations (n = 76–200). ***(P < 0.001 by ANOVA and Bonferroni’s post-test. (E) The indicated metastatic cell lines were analyzed for mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation complex II (C.II) activity at the indicated time intervals. (F) The indicated metastatic cell lines were analyzed in a colony formation assay (F), and crystal violet–stained colonies were quantified after 10 days (G). Data are expressed as mean ± SD (n = 3). ***(P < 0.001 by ANOVA and Bonferroni’s post-test. (H) and (I) The indicated metastatic cell lines were analyzed for cell motility in a 2D chemotaxis chamber (H) with quantification of speed of cell migration (I). Data are expressed as mean ± SEM (n = 104–106). ***(P < 0.0001, by ANOVA and Bonferroni’s post-test. (J) The indicated metastatic cell lines were analyzed by Western blotting.
by a unique mitochondrial localization. At variance with a canonical, long SNPH isoform, which corresponds to previously described “neuronal” SNPH (27), the new, short SNPH isoform was broadly expressed, albeit at wide-ranging levels, in both neuronal and non-neuronal tissues, including primary human tissues and tumor cell lines. A detailed molecular understanding of how the SNPH gene is differentially processed, including the potential generation of additional isoform(s) from this locus, remains to be elucidated.

Consistent with its predicted mitochondrial localization, the new, short SNPH isoform accumulated in mitochondria of tumor cells, sorting to both the inner and outer organelle membranes. It seems plausible that the putative transmembrane (TM) domain embedded in the COOH-terminus of SNPH (27) provides membrane insertion at both mitochondrial locations, and, consistent with this possibility, deletion of the TM domain disrupted mitochondrial tethering to cytoskeletal microtubules (27) and impaired mitochondrial support of tumor cell proliferation (our unpublished observations). Together, these data suggest the possibility of a differential spatial organization for the diverse SNPH functions in mitochondria, where outer membrane-associated SNPH provides organelle attachment to the cytoskeleton (27, 29), whereas inner membrane SNPH sustains efficient, non-leaky oxidative metabolism. Mechanistic data presented reinforce the absolute requirement of mitochondrial localization for SNPH function in tumors, as an SNPH Δ-MLS mutant lacked the ability to affect oxidative bioenergetics, mitochondrial trafficking to the cortical cytoskeleton, and cell proliferation.

Consistent with this view, mitochondrial SNPH emerged here as a regulator of organelle bioenergetics, preserving the stability and function of the complex II subunits SDHA and SDHB against oxidative damage. Although the structural arrangement of complex II is known (34), how subunit integrity is preserved, especially during oxidative (35) or proteotoxic (36) stress, has not been clearly delineated. We know that pharmacologic or genetic targeting of SDHA and SDHB against oxidative damage. Although the structural arrangement of complex II is known (34), how subunit integrity is preserved, especially during oxidative (35) or proteotoxic (36) stress, has not been clearly delineated. We know that pharmacologic or genetic targeting of complex II causes electron leakage (37) and increased superoxide production (38). Here, we identified mitochondrial superoxide as a potent stimulus for SDHA and SDHB degradation, potentially via protein oxidation, further impairing energy production under...
In terms of disease relevance, we have shown here that expression of mitochondria-localized SNPH is sufficient to suppress tumor cell dissemination from xenograft tumors as well as in syngeneic models of early metastatic seeding in immunocompetent animals, defining this pathway as a conceptually novel metastasis suppressor.

Conversely, we found that SNPH becomes transcriptionally downregulated in cells that have acquired the ability to metastasize in vivo, correlating with a cellular phenotype of heightened oxidative stress, reduced cell proliferation, and increased cell motility. The acquisition of metastatic competence reflects a complex and multifaceted transcriptional and posttranscriptional program (48), including modulation of intrinsic antioxidant mechanisms (45). How SNPH participates in this process remains to be fully elucidated, but data collected from analysis of public databases and primary patient cohorts revealed that downregulation or loss of SNPH is a common feature of tumor progression, correlating with metastatic disease and worse outcome (29). Our data indicating that stress conditions of the tumor microenvironment, such as hypoxia and oxidative damage (1), acutely lower SNPH levels may explain the silencing of this pathway in advanced disease, coinciding with the emergence of a restrictive and unfavorable microenvironment, typically depleted of oxygen and nutrient (1).

Based on these findings, we propose a model in which SNPH functions as a stress-regulated mitochondrial “rheostat” for allo- 

cation of often limited resources of the microenvironment in proliferation-motility decisions (9), or phenotype-switching (10).
According to this model, downregulation of SNPH due to hypoxic or oxidative stress (I) turns the rheostat toward greater cell motility at the expense of cell proliferation (Figure 9). Fueled by increased mitochondrial accumulation at the cortical cytoskeleton (25), the resulting heightened cell motility may provide an “escape” mechanism for tumor cells to evade an unfavorable ecosystem and colonize alternative, distant tissue sites (49). Conversely, sustained levels of oxygen and nutrients maintain high SNPH expression in mitochondria. This turns the rheostat toward continued tumor cell proliferation enabled by low ROS production and efficient oxidative metabolism, while restricting mitochondrial trafficking and cell movements (Figure 9).

Although metastatic disease is the primary cause of death for cancer patients, there is a paucity of therapeutic targets to interfere with the process(es) of tumor cell dissemination to distant organs (50). In line with the renewed emphasis of mitochondria in metastasis (19, 21, 29), molecules in the SNPH pathway may provide fresh therapeutic opportunities to target adaptive mechanisms of cell proliferation-motility in progressive disease. As this pathway is selectively exploited in cancer, as opposed to normal tissues, modulators of mitochondrial trafficking may constitute a favorable strategy and be uniquely suited to disrupt the metabolic requirements of metastatic cells (29).

Methods

Antibodies and reagents. A custom rabbit polyclonal antibody against human SNPH (aa 207–221) was produced by NEO Group Inc. and purified by antigen affinity. The anti-SNPH custom antibody was human SNPH (aa 207–221) was produced by NEO Group Inc. and used for Western blotting. Antibodies to paxillin (Upstate Biotechnologies, ma-Aldrich, clone AC-15, cat#A5441, diluted 1:100,000) were used as a control. PC3 cells stably expressing shRNA targeting SNPH were generated using a Stratagene QuikChange II XL Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Agilent Technologies) and confirmed by DNA sequencing. Cells were transfected with 2 μg pcDNA plus 4 μl X-treme gene HP (Roche) for 24 hours in complete medium, washed, and subjected to the indicated treatments.

Adenoviral vectors expressing SNPH were produced using Gateway technology (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Briefly, SNPH or LacZ cDNA was inserted into pDONR221 vector and recombined into the adenovirus expression vector pAd/CMV/V5-DEST. The plasmids were digested with PacI restriction enzyme and transfected in 293A cells for production of adenoviruses. The cells containing adenoviruses were collected at 7 days after transfection, according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Gene silencing. Gene knockdown experiments by siRNA were carried out as described previously (20). The following sequences were used: control, ON-TARGETplus Non-targeting siRNA pool (Dharmacon, L-020417), or human SNPH siRNA (Dharmacon, L-020417, or Dharmacon, L-020417). The following sequences were used: control, ON-TARGETplus Non-targeting siRNA pool (Dharmacon, L-020417), or human SNPH siRNA (Dharmacon, L-020417). The following sequences were used: control, ON-TARGETplus Non-targeting siRNA pool (Dharmacon, L-020417), or human SNPH siRNA (Dharmacon, L-020417). The following sequences were used: control, ON-TARGETplus Non-targeting siRNA pool (Dharmacon, L-020417), or human SNPH siRNA (Dharmacon, L-020417). The following sequences were used: control, ON-TARGETplus Non-targeting siRNA pool (Dharmacon, L-020417), or human SNPH siRNA (Dharmacon, L-020417). The following sequences were used: control, ON-TARGETplus Non-targeting siRNA pool (Dharmacon, L-020417), or human SNPH siRNA (Dharmacon, L-020417). The following sequences were used: control, ON-TARGETplus Non-targeting siRNA pool (Dharmacon, L-020417), or human SNPH siRNA (Dharmacon, L-020417).
**Protein analysis.** For Western blotting, protein lysates were prepared in Triton X-100 lysis buffer (20 mM Tris HCl, pH 7.5, 137 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, 10% glycerol) containing EDTA-free Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich) and Phosphatase Inhibitor Cocktail PhosSTOP (Roche), sonicated, and precleared by centrifugation at 14,000 g for 10 minutes at 4°C. Equal amounts of protein lysates were separated by SDS gel electrophoresis, transferred to PVDF membranes, blocked in 5% low-fat milk diluted in TBST buffer (20 mM Tris HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% Tween-20), and further incubated with primary antibodies of various specificities diluted 1:1,000 in 5% BSA/TBST for 18 hours at 4°C. After washing in TBST, membranes were incubated with HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies (1:5,000 dilution in 5% BSA/TBST) for 1 hour at 22°C and washed with TBST, and protein bands were visualized by enhanced chemiluminescence. For analysis of protein stability and determination of protein half-life, PC3 cells were incubated in the presence of 100 μg/ml of the protein synthesis inhibitor CHX, with or without the mitochondrial superoxide scavenger MT, and released in complete medium, and aliquots of cell lysates collected at increasing time intervals after release (2-10 hours) were analyzed by Western blotting.

**Mitochondrial isolation.** Mitochondrial fractions were prepared from PC3 cells using a mitochondrial isolation kit for cultured cells (Fisher Scientific). Briefly, PC3 cells were homogenized by 70 strokes using a Dounce grinder in isolation buffer A plus protease inhibitor cocktail. Cell extracts were collected into equal volumes of isolation buffer C with buffer A. Cell debris and nuclei were removed by centrifugation at 700 g for 10 minutes, and mitochondrial fractions were collected by centrifugation at 3,000 g for 25 minutes. For submitochondrial fractionation experiments, initial mitochondrial extracts were further centrifuged at 12,000 g for 10 minutes in an equal volume of isolation buffer C. The resulting samples were further processed by sequential centrifugation in fractions containing outer membrane (OM), inner membrane (IM), inter-membrane space (IMS), and matrix, as described previously (42).

**mRNA quantification.** Absolute mRNA levels for human SNPH were determined by qPCR. Briefly, RNA was extracted with a PureLink RNA Mini Kit (Life Technologies) following the in-column DNA digestion protocol. For mouse tissues, RNA was extracted from 25 mg of tissue by homogenization in TRI Reagent, followed by purification with a Direct-zol RNA Mini Kit (Zymo Research). RNA from 8 normal human tissues was obtained from BioChain and digested with RNAse-free DNase1 (Thermo Scientific). Five micrograms of RNA was reverse transcribed using a combination of oligo(dt) and an SNPH-specific reverse primer (RNAse-free HPLC purified, CTGGCGGTCACCACAGAC) for 1 hour at 53°C using the ThermoScript RT-PCR system (Life Technologies). One microliter of cDNA diluted 1:5 was used as template for qPCR reactions with TaqMan Gene Expression assays. Pre-designed Taqman assays were: mouse Snph (Mm01243855_ml), human long+short (L+S) SNPH transcripts (Hs00920132_m1), ACTB (Hs99999903_m1), GAPDH (Hs99999905_ml), and eukaryotic 18S rRNA (4352930E).

**Custom isoform-specific TaqMan gene expression assays to detect Long human SNPH transcript (NM_001318234.1, L-SNPH), or short human SNPH transcript (NM_014723, S-SNPH)** were used (Figure 1A). Custom L-SNPH assay primers and probe were: forward, TCAGGGTAGGGAGGAGGCTA; reverse, CCAGGTTGGCCCGCTTGTT; probe, ATATAACGGGAGAGAC; custom S-SNPH assay primers and probe were: forward, AGTGGTGCGAGCCCC; reverse, GGTGG-GATGGGCCGTATTC; probe, CAGTGGACTCAGGCCCCC. A standard synthetic gBlock containing the target amplicons for common SNPH (L+S), short SNPH, long SNPH, actin, and GAPDH in tandem (CSLAG) was purchased from IDT. The efficiency of amplification (Ex) of the 3 assays (long, short, and common SNPH) was determined using the Ct slope method with 6 concentrations of CSLAG standard covering a 5-log range, and found to be identical (P < 0.05 for all comparisons). The mean and SD were: Ex long = 82.33 ± 8.069; Ex short = 80.90 ± 2.700; Ex common = 84.82 ± 7.179. The mean and SD for the correlation coefficients were: r2 long = 0.9975 ± 0.002500; r2 short = 0.9875 ± 0.01250; r2 common = 0.9970 ± 0.001000. All r2 values were identical (P > 0.05 for all comparisons). Absolute copy number for each transcript was determined against a standard curve of CSLAG that was run in parallel with the cDNA samples. For relative quantitation, the ΔΔCt method was used.

**Analysis of SNPH mRNA expression in public databases.** The NCBI’s Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) genomics data repository was interrogated for SNPH mRNA expression in primary versus metastatic cancer using the GEO Profiles Database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/profiles/). The “Metastatic prostate cancer” dataset GDS2545 (51, 52) containing 65 primary prostate and 15 metastases to regional and distal lymph nodes was downloaded. The study contained one probe set for SNPH (4117_at, GPL8300: [HG_U95Av2] Affymetrix Human Genome U95 Version 2 Array). The “Human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-positive breast cancer brain metastases” dataset GDS5506 (53) containing 19 HER+ breast primary non-metastatic and 19 brain metastases was downloaded. The study contained 5 probe sets for SNPH: each probe was searched in the GPL1352: [U133 X3P] Affymetrix Human X3P Array and matched to gene bank ID, and the sequence was downloaded from the NCBI nucleotide collection and blasted against the Human Genomic Plus Transcript (Human G+T). Four probes (Hs.323833.1.S1_3p_a_at, Hs.323833.1.S1_3p_at, 215917_3p_at, and Hs.323833.1.S1_3p_x_at) were specifically covering a neighbor gene, RAD21L1 (NM_001366662.6 at 61% coverage), with a lower coverage for SNPH isoforms (38% for either isoform). These 4 probes were discarded from the analyses. Only one probe (ID: g7662081_3p_at) was a bona fide probe for SNPH (both isoforms were detected; 100% coverage for NM_001318234.1 and 89% coverage for NM_014723.3). Based on this probe, primary versus metastatic tumors were compared with a 2-tailed unpaired Student’s t test using GraphPad Prism 6.0 software.

For the Cancer Cell Line Encyclopedia (CCLE) (54), mRNA expression for SNPH was accessed through the cBioPortal for Cancer Genomics (http://www.cbioportal.org/) (55, 56) and downloaded. Individual cancer cell lines were grouped by primary tissue of origin according to the CCLE classification and plotted with GraphPad Prism 6.0 software. The TCGA tumor expression data for SNPH mRNA (RNA-seq values) were downloaded from the cBioPortal and plotted with GraphPad Prism 6.0 software. For the SNPH versus VHL status analysis, the Kidney Renal Clear Cell Carcinoma study (The Cancer Genome Atlas [TCGA]; https://cancergenome.nih.gov/), Provisional) was downloaded through the cBioPortal (55, 56), and the levels of SNPH mRNA were plotted against the copy number variation/mutation status of VHL. Outliers were tested with Grubbs test and removed from the dataset, and the multiple groups were tested with 1-way ANOVA and Bonferroni’s post-test for pairwise comparisons. A correlation between SNPH mRNA levels and linear copy number alteration (CNA) for VHL was then examined using Spearman’s test using GraphPad Prism 6.0 software.
Immunofluorescence. Tumor cells were fixed in formalin/PBS (4% final concentration) for 15 minutes at 22°C, permeabilized in 0.1% Triton X-100/PBS for 5 minutes, washed, and incubated in 5% normal goat serum (NGS, Vector Laboratories) diluted in 0.3 M glycine/PBS for 60 minutes. Primary antibodies against Tom20 (diluted 1:300), β-tubulin (diluted 1:200), SNPH (diluted 1:500), and MTCO2 (diluted 1:500) were added in 5% NGS/0.3 M glycine/PBS and incubated for 18 hours at 4°C. After 3 washes in PBS, secondary antibodies conjugated to Alexa Fluor 488, TRITC, or Alexa Fluor 653 were diluted 1:500 in 5% NGS/0.3 M glycine/PBS and added to cells for 1 hour at 22°C. Where indicated, F-actin was stained with phalloidin Alexa Fluor 488 (1:200 dilution) for 30 minutes at 22°C. Slides were washed and mounted in DAPI-containing ProLong Gold mounting medium (Invitrogen). At least 7 random fields were analyzed by fluorescence microscopy in a Nikon i80 microscope.

Cortical mitochondria and total mitochondrial mass quantification. Mitochondria/F-actin composite images were analyzed in ImageJ (NIH), as described previously (25). The F-actin channel was used to manually label the cell boundary, and a belt extending from the boundary toward the inside of the cell was marked as “cortical mask” (see Figure 4B). This cortical mask was subsequently applied to the mitochondrial channel to measure intensity at the cortical region, which was normalized to total mitochondrial intensity per cell and cell area. For quantification of total mitochondrial mass, composite images were analyzed in ImageJ. The cell border was manually traced on the F-actin channel, and this “cell mask” was subsequently applied to the mitochondria channel to measure the total mitochondria signal per cell. Maximum intensity was monitored to ensure no pixel saturation (e.g., maximum intensity <256 for 8-bit images). Mitochondrial mass was normalized to total cell area. A minimum of 30 cells was analyzed in each independent experiment to obtain mean values.

Mitochondrial ROS quantification in live cells. Mitochondrial superoxide production was analyzed as described previously (25). Briefly, 1.5 × 10^4 cells were grown on high-optical-quality 8-well μ-slides (Ibidi) and stained with MitosOX Red mitochondrial superoxide indicator (Life Technologies, 5 μM, 10 minutes) in complete medium, followed by washes in warm medium. Stained cells were imaged with a 40× objective on a Nikon TE300 inverted time-lapse microscope equipped with a video system containing an Evolution QEI camera and a time-lapse video cassette recorder. The atmosphere was equilibrated to 37°C and 5% CO₂ in an incubation chamber. Phase and red fluorescence (TRITC filter cube, excitation wavelength 532–554 nm, and emission wavelength 570–613 nm) images were captured. For quantification, files were imported into ImageJ, and masks were manually created around the periphery of the cell based on the phase image and subsequently applied to the TRITC channel to measure intensity. A minimum of 100 cells was analyzed in each independent experiment to obtain mean values.

Analysis of bioenergetics. Cells were analyzed for ATP generation (BioChain catalog ZS030041) or OCR (Enzo Life Sciences catalog ENZ-51045-1), according to the manufacturer’s specifications. In some experiments, the culture medium was exchanged with dialyzed FBS containing growth medium and incubated for 2 hours, followed by analysis of lactate production (Abcam, catalog ab65331). For glucose consumption, cells were grown for 24 hours at 37°C, and aliquots of the culture supernatant were collected and processed using a glucose assay kit (eEnzyme).

Mitochondrial respiration complex activity. Extracts from PC3 cells stably transduced with pLKO or SNPH-directed shRNA were analyzed for changes in oxidative phosphorylation complex activity using Abcam reagents (for complex I, ab109721; for complex II, ab109908). Twenty micrograms of cell lysates was assayed in parallel for citrate synthase (CS) activity (ScientCell Research Laboratories). Aliquots of lysates with comparable CS activity were applied for quantification of mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation complex activity. Relative complex activities were calculated by determining the change in absorbance over time in the linear range of the measurements.

Cell motility analysis. 2D tumor cell motility experiments were carried out as described previously (25). Briefly, 1 × 10⁴ cells under the various conditions tested were seeded in 4-well Ph⁺ chambers (Ibidi) in complete medium and allowed to attach overnight. Videomicroscopy was performed over 10 hours, with a time-lapse interval of 10 minutes. Stacks were imported into ImageJ for analysis. Images were aligned according to subpixel intensity registration with the StackReg plugin for ImageJ. At least 30 cells were tracked using the Manual Tracking plugin for ImageJ, and the tracking data from 4 independent time-lapse experiments were pooled and exported into Chemotaxis and Migration Tool v2.0 (Ibidi) for graphing and calculation of mean and SD of speed, accumulated distance, and Euclidean distance of movement. For cell migration using a wound closure assay, a monolayer of PC3 cells was incubated at 1% O₂ for 24 hours, wounded using a 10-μl pipette tip, immediately returned to the hypoxia chamber, and incubated for an additional 20 hours in 1% O₂. Cells were imaged by phase-contrast microscopy, and images were imported into FIJI software (http://fiji.sc/) and processed to measure the area within the wound. The percentage of wound closure was calculated based on the maximum initial area for each well.

Cell proliferation. For direct cell counting experiments, tumor cells were plated in triplicate on 6-MW plates (4 × 10⁴ cells/well) and counted at increasing time intervals at 37°C. In parallel, cell viability was measured by Trypan blue exclusion. Where indicated, ROS scavengers, MT (50 μM), or NAC (10 μM), alone or in combination, were added to the medium, and fresh medium containing drugs was added every 2 days. For colony formation, 200 cells were plated in triplicate onto 6-MW plates and allowed to grow for 10–14 days, with fresh medium added every 2–3 days. Colonies were stained with 0.5% w/v crystal violet/methanol for 30 minutes at 22°C and quantified by ImageJ. Cell proliferation experiments were done by labeling cells in 1:1,000 dilution BrdU (Amer sham Pharmacia Biotech) in culture medium for 1 hour and analysis by multiparametric flow cytometry with quantitation of BrdU⁺ cells. Cell cycle analysis was carried out in ethanol-fixed cells, stained for 10 minutes with 2.5 μl/ml propidium iodide in the presence of ribonuclease A. Twenty thousand events were acquired on a FACS Calibur flow cytometer and quantified using CellQuest Pro software (Becton Dickinson).

Animal studies. Groups of 6- to 8-week-old male NOD SCID γ (NSG, NOD.Cg-Prkdcscid Il2rg tm1Wjl/SzJ) immunocompromised mice (Jackson Laboratory) (5 mice per group) were injected s.c. with PC3 cells stably transplanted with pLKO or two independent SNPH-directed shRNA sequences (clones 0 and 5), and superficial tumor growth (2 tumors/mouse) was quantified with a caliper over a 2-week interval. At the end of the experiment, animals bearing PC3-pLKO (puromycin-resistant) tumors were euthanized, and the liver and lungs were dissected and weighed in PBS. Metastatic nodules were excised and cut into 1-mm sections, washed in PBS, and plated in RPMI 1640 medi-
um containing puromycin. Tumor cells were allowed to attach to the plate overnight, and the next day any residual tissue fragments were removed. Four animals were used to generate 4 independent cell lines from liver and lung metastatic sites. Parental PC3-pLKO cells were used as control for these experiments.

For a syngeneic model of metastasis in vivo, Yale University Mouse Melanoma 1.7 (Yumm1.7) 1.7 cells derived from a genetically engineered mouse model of invasive melanoma with the genotype Braf^{V600E}; Cdtna2^{-/-}; Pten^{-/-} were used (31). Yumm1.7 cells stably expressing mCherry were described previously (32). Cells were transiently transfected with empty pCMV6 vector, or cDNAs encoding FL short SNPH or ΔMLS SNPH mutant, and selected with G418 at 400 μg/ml for 15 days. Stably transfected cells (2.5 x 10^6) were injected into the flanks of syngeneic 8-week-old male C57BL/6NCr (NCI Inbred mice, Charles River strain code 556). One to 3 weeks later, tumor cells disseminated to lungs were identified and quantitated based on expression of the mCherry transgene by IHC (see below).

IHC. Lungs were fixed in neutral formalin (Fisher Scientific, SP93-4) for 36 hours, transferred to 70% ethanol for 3 days, and then paraffin embedded. Five-micrometer sections were stained with a rabbit anti-mCherry polyclonal antibody (Novus, NBP2-25157) as follows. Slides were warmed at 50°C for 30 minutes; deparaffinized in xylene for 20 minutes, then xylene/ethanol 1:1 for 5 minutes; and rehydrated in alcohol series (100%, 95%, 90%, 70%, 50%, 30% ethanol and dH2O, 5 minutes each). Antigen retrieval was done in citrate-based solution (Vector Laboratories, H-3300) at pH 6.0 in a pressure cooker for 30 minutes, rinsed in dH2O, and stained with Mayer’s hematoxylin solution (Sigma-Aldrich, MHS16) for 10 seconds. Slides were developed with a DAB+ substrate chromogen system (Dako, K4002) at room temperature for 5 minutes, and washed 3 times with PBS for 5 minutes each. Slides were developed with a DAB+ substrate chromogen system (Dako, K3467) for 30 minutes, rinsed in dH2O, and stained with Mayer’s hematoxylin solution (Sigma-Aldrich, MHS16) for 10 seconds. Slides were dehydrated in dH2O, 30%, 50%, 70%, 90%, 95%, and 100% ethanol (5 minutes each); immersed in xylene for 15 minutes; and mounted with Permount mounting medium (Fisher Scientific, SP15-100).

Quantification of disseminated tumor cells to the lungs. Five lungs per group were stained for mCherry as described above and scanned for the presence of mCherry+ cells. Each mCherry+ cell was photographed at 40x magnification and manually counted using ImageJ software. For each animal, the average number of mCherry+ cells per lung was calculated and presented. ANOVA with Bonferroni’s post-test was used to compare the means between the groups and derived pairwise comparison P values.

Statistics. Dare expressed as mean ± SEM or mean ± SD of multiple independent experiments or replicates of representative experiments out of a minimum of 2 or 3 independent determinations. Two-tailed Student’s t test or Wilcoxon rank-sum test was used for 2-group comparative analyses. For multiple-group comparisons, ANOVA or Kruskal-Wallis test with Bonferroni’s post-hoc procedure was applied. All statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad software package (Prism 6.0) for Windows. A P value less than 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

Study approval. Studies involving vertebrate animals (rodents) were carried out in accordance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (National Academies Press, 2011). Protocols were approved by the IACUC of the Wistar Institute (protocol 112625).
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