

4-11-2013

Comparison Study of Airway Reactivity Outcomes due to a Pharmacologic Challenge Test: Impulse Oscillometry versus Least Mean Squared Analysis Techniques.

Elena Rodriguez

Nemours Research Lung Center, Nemours/Alfred I. DuPont Hospital for Children; Nemours Biomedical Research, Nemours/Alfred I. DuPont Hospital for Children; Division of Clinical Pharmacology, Thomas Jefferson University,
merodrig@nemours.org

Charrell M Bullard

Division of Neonatology, Thomas Jefferson University Hospital

Milena H Armani

Nemours Research Lung Center, Nemours/Alfred I. DuPont Hospital for Children

Thomas L Miller

Department of Pediatrics, Thomas Jefferson University

Thomas H Shaffer

Nemours Research Lung Center, Nemours/Alfred I. DuPont Hospital for Children; Nemours Biomedical Research, Nemours/Alfred I. DuPont Hospital for Children; Department of Pediatrics, Thomas Jefferson University

Part of the [Other Medical Specialties Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Rodriguez, Elena; Bullard, Charrell M; Armani, Milena H; Miller, Thomas L; and Shaffer, Thomas H, "Comparison Study of Airway Reactivity Outcomes due to a Pharmacologic Challenge Test: Impulse Oscillometry versus Least Mean Squared Analysis Techniques." (2013). *Department of Medicine Faculty Papers*. Paper 113.

<http://jdc.jefferson.edu/medfp/113>

Research Article

Comparison Study of Airway Reactivity Outcomes due to a Pharmacologic Challenge Test: Impulse Oscillometry versus Least Mean Squared Analysis Techniques

Elena Rodriguez,^{1,2,3} Charrell M. Bullard,⁴ Milena H. Armani,¹ Thomas L. Miller,⁵ and Thomas H. Shaffer^{1,2,5}

¹ Nemours Research Lung Center, Nemours/Alfred I. duPont Hospital for Children, Wilmington, DE 19803, USA

² Nemours Biomedical Research, Nemours/Alfred I. duPont Hospital for Children, Wilmington, DE 19803, USA

³ Division of Clinical Pharmacology, Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia, PA 19107, USA

⁴ Division of Neonatology, Thomas Jefferson University Hospital, Philadelphia, PA 19107, USA

⁵ Department of Pediatrics, Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia, PA 19107, USA

Correspondence should be addressed to Elena Rodriguez; merodrig@nemours.org

Received 31 December 2012; Revised 6 March 2013; Accepted 7 March 2013

Academic Editor: S. L. Johnston

Copyright © 2013 Elena Rodriguez et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The technique of measuring transpulmonary pressure and respiratory airflow with manometry and pneumotachography using the least mean squared analysis (LMS) has been used broadly in both preclinical and clinical settings for the evaluation of neonatal respiratory function during tidal volume breathing for lung tissue and airway frictional mechanical properties measurements. Whereas the technique of measuring respiratory function using the impulse oscillation technique (IOS) involves the assessment of the relationship between pressure and flow using an impulse signal with a range of frequencies, requires less cooperation and provides more information on total respiratory system resistance (chest wall, lung tissue, and airways). The present study represents a preclinical animal study to determine whether these respiratory function techniques (LMS and IOS) are comparable in detecting changes in respiratory resistance derived from a direct pharmacological challenge.

1. Introduction

The use of animal models for studying respiratory mechanics under airway challenge tests has led to a sudden increase of information regarding the behavior of the different areas of the respiratory system. Despite the large amount of research in the adult and pediatric groups, we still lack significant knowledge in the neonatal subgroup. The present study represents a preclinical animal study to determine whether two respiratory function techniques are comparable in detecting changes in respiratory resistance derived from a direct pharmacological challenge.

The technique of measuring transpulmonary pressure and respiratory airflow with esophageal manometry, airway manometry, and pneumotachography has been previously described [1]. Transpulmonary pressure derived from

proximal airway pressures and intrapulmonary esophageal pressure detected from a water-filled catheter [2, 3] are measured by differential pressure transducers. The airflow is measured with a low dead-space volume pneumotachometer and a differential pressure transducer. The least mean squared analysis (LMS) has been used broadly in both preclinical and clinical settings for the evaluation of neonatal lung function during tidal volume breathing [1, 4, 5].

The technique of measuring respiratory function using forced oscillation technique (FOT), or impulse oscillometry, involves the assessment of the relationship between pressure and flow using a forced/impulse signal composed with a range of frequencies. The response to this signal is called the respiratory impedance, which is the frequency-dependent relationship between transrespiratory pressure and flow. The impedance of the respiratory system includes the respiratory

resistance (R_{rs}) and the respiratory reactance. The R_{rs} is the component of the pressure-flow relationship of the pressure oscillation that is in phase with the airflow; it is measured by the forward sound impulse and includes the total resistance of the respiratory system (chest wall, lung tissue, and airways). The technique was introduced in the initial study conducted by Dubois et al. in the 1950s [6].

Since then, many advances have been made in refining and using this technique for clinical research. Further modification of the technique has included the introduction of a computer friendly device that applies a respiratory pressure impulse, the impulse oscillometry system (IOS), which differs from the initial FOT in its setup. It uses signals lasting 5 ms and containing 5 Hz harmonics up to 50 Hz [7].

Multiple authors have published data using these oscillatory techniques for studies that evaluated airway and respiratory tissue mechanic responses after airway challenges in adolescents and children [8–16]. The techniques differentiated between proximal and distal airway obstruction and are a sensitive approach to determine bronchial hyperreactivity. In this regard, it has been demonstrated that oscillation does not modify the airway smooth muscle tone [17].

Despite the variable use of reference values, the techniques hold promise because the patients do not need to complete respiratory maneuvers, measurements are taken during quiet respiration, and invasive procedures (e.g., placement of an esophageal balloon) are not required to measure the resistance of the respiratory tract. Despite the amount of past research to establish these techniques as valid methods for assessment of respiratory function in both children and infants [18–25], additional validation is required for the neonatal population.

Significant remodeling of the respiratory system occurs even after birth in humans [26] and animals [27]; however, when compared with adults, neonates/infants have an increased upper airway resistance, increased lower airway resistance, decreased lung volume, decreased efficiency of respiratory muscles, and increased chest wall compliance. Extrapolation of outcomes regarding respiratory physiology-function and pharmacological challenge is not feasible.

The neonatal subgroup has been the focus of recent work, and published data supports the investigation of the oscillometry assessment in the youngest patients, with some technical modifications, which included the stimulation of a minimum of 2-second breathing pause at the end of inspiration using the Hering-Breuer inflation reflex (reflex triggered to prevent overinflation of the lungs by creating an apneic pause) in unsedated neonates by means of a shutter valve and a face mask [28, 29]. The IOS approach in neonates has not been validated against an accepted “gold standard” technique of respiratory function measurement (i.e., the use of an esophageal balloon to determine transpulmonary pressures to separate the chest wall and lung components of the resistance spectrum).

Appropriately, the development and validation of oscillometry techniques for clinical use in neonates will allow assessment of respiratory function in this group of patients to accurately measure lung development, respiratory disease, and the response to drug or therapeutic challenges. The

clinical applicability of the neonatal piglet model has been extensively studied for developmental respiratory biological processes and pre-clinical endpoints by our group [30] as well as numerous other investigators [31–37]. However, to validate this methodology for broad clinical use, we believe that accurate in vivo testing (a neonatal animal model) of an airway challenge is warranted.

Therefore, the main aims of this study were to detect significant pharmacological increases in resistance (% change) with both respiratory function techniques and to assess the level of agreement between them in detecting this airway reactivity outcome. The overall study aim is to validate the IOS technique as a noninvasive means of evaluating respiratory mechanics in the neonatal setting through a range of resistance values representing the airway and tissue mechanical properties; this validation is to be compared with an older technique that is still currently accepted as a standard for respiratory mechanics evaluation but requires patient cooperation and placement of an esophageal pressure catheter [2].

To explore this gap in research, we conducted this pilot study to investigate the hypothesis that the IOS (new technique) could be interchangeably used to measure the effects of airway reactivity in neonatal settings.

2. Methods and Materials

2.1. Animal Preparation and Instrumentation Protocol. Neonatal piglets ($n = 8$, 6.6 ± 0.8 kg, and 20 ± 1 days of age) were anesthetized, intubated by tracheotomy, and allowed to breathe spontaneously. Piglets were anesthetized initially with two 1 mL/kg intramuscular injections, separated by 10 min, of an anesthesia cocktail (ketamine: 23 mg/kg; acepromazine: 0.58 mg/kg; xylazine: 0.8 mg/kg [KAX]) adapted from previously described piglet protocols [30, 38]. Local anesthesia was delivered to the skin and soft tissues around the surgical sites with 0.5% lidocaine HCl (4 mg/kg). For venous access and arterial blood sampling, respectively, 5- or 8-French umbilical catheters were inserted into the external jugular vein and carotid artery. A tracheotomy was performed to allow for continued spontaneous breathing through a 3.0- to 5.0-mm-ID endotracheal tube (ETT) (Hi-LoTM Jet tube; Mallinckrodt, Saint Louis, MO, USA). The size of the trachea in this model facilitated the use of a standard neonatal/pediatric ETT. The tracheotomy procedure was necessary to ensure a tight seal for more accurate measures of respiratory mechanics and to eliminate upper airway shunting and flow contribution.

Subsequent anesthesia was maintained with intravenous infusion of KAX at 0.4 mL/kg/hr. Maintenance fluid was provided by a continuous venous infusion of 5% dextrose solution at a rate of 6 mL/kg/hr. Arterial blood pressure was monitored by attaching the arterial catheter to a standard pressure transducer via bedside patient monitor (model M1175A, Hewlett Packard, Palo Alto, CA, USA). ECG electrodes were also placed for monitoring the heart rate and cardiac rhythm and to detect any significant bradycardia. Throughout the protocol, the animal's rectal temperature was

monitored and maintained at 37–38°C on a radiant warmer bed (Resuscitaire; Hill-Rom Air-Shields, Hatboro, PA, USA). Once the physiologic stability of the animal was confirmed by the analysis of the arterial blood chemistry (Stat profile; Nova Biomedical, Waltham, MA, USA), ECG, and blood pressure monitoring; the animal's respiratory measurements were recorded as described below. Intravenous midazolam was used as an anxiolytic to ease the work of breathing. Following the completion of the protocol, animals were euthanized with pentobarbital (50 mg/kg) and saturated potassium chloride (2 mEq). All procedures for the animal preparation were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Functional respiratory parameters of interest were recorded after the animal was stabilized. The piglet then was connected to the PEDS circuit for baseline measurements. Immediately following LMS baseline assessment (average of 5 min), the piglet was connected to the IOS system for baseline oscillatory measurements, and testing was performed as soon as the transrespiratory pressure returned to 10 cmH₂O as described below. Also, Figure 1 illustrates the test paradigm that was followed in order to compare respiratory function assessment between the LMS and IOS methods both before and after pharmacologically induced airway constriction.

2.2. Respiratory Function Assessment-Least Mean Squared Analysis. For the conventional tidal breathing resistance measurements, the ETT was connected to the pneumotachometer port. A three-valve stopcock was used to block the pressure port. The negative flow port was manually occluded to prevent flow artifact since there was no additional flow via ventilator tubing. A water-filled balloon was placed via the mouth into the esophagus to measure the intrathoracic pressure during respiration. Optimum placement of the balloon was confirmed by real-time monitoring of pressure tracings, using criteria of maximum negative deflection during inspiration with minimum cardiac artifacts. Only spontaneous breaths (at least 10 breaths) were analyzed, and breaths with distortion of the signal were excluded from the average data.

Piglets were observed for 30 seconds on the circuit. Respiratory volumes were determined by electronic integration of flow signals, and the following parameters were calculated: dynamic pulmonary resistance (R) in cmH₂O/L/s, respiratory rate, tidal volume, and minute ventilation. Resistance values were recorded while positive pressure was applied to induce opposition to flow and calculated by least mean squared (LMS) algorithms incorporated into the computer system.

2.3. Respiratory Function Assessment-Impulse Oscillometry Analysis. The impedance of the total respiratory system was measured using a commercially available IOS that has been described previously. During tidal breathing through an ETT, an impulse generator delivered brief pulses at intervals of 0.2 sec, superimposed on the spontaneous breathing pattern. The digitalized pressure and flow signals were fed into the fast Fourier transformation, where 32 samples were considered. For experimental purposes, no system correction was used.




FIGURE 1: A schematic diagram illustrating the current test paradigm. LMS, least mean squares; IOS, impulse oscillatory system; PFT, pulmonary function testing; N , number of animals; BL, baseline; Post, after bethanechol administration. Time between the first and second bethanechol doses was 10 min.

Daily calibration using a calibration pump (3.0 ± 0.01 L SD, Jaeger; Höecheberg, Germany) and a reference impedance of 10 cmH₂O/L/s were performed, and a maximum error of 10% was permitted. Piglets were connected via ETT adapter to the pneumotach of the IOS, were tested for 30 seconds on the circuit with a transrespiratory pressure of 10 cmH₂O, and were saved for later evaluation; epoch of measurements was discarded if the time flow and pressure pattern in the primary data time and time results trends showed interruption of the oscillatory signal, which occurred occasionally (approximately 10–15%) in the current study. In the evaluation phase, the minimum time period for reporting these measurements was 2 seconds. The impedance of the respiratory system (Z_{rs}) and R_{rs} in cmH₂O/L/s were calculated at 3, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, and 35 Hz. Replicate oscillatory values were performed by JLAB 4.65.1.0 software (Erich Jaeger GmbH, Würzburg, Germany) and analyzed by JLAB 5.20.0.52 software (VIASYS Healthcare GmbH, Höecheberg, Germany). Since parameters were assessed within the same piglet over time/delta changes, a correction for the impedance of the ETT was not needed.

Each piglet provided a matched set of data from the MasterScreen PFT IOS (Jaeger, Höecheberg, Germany) and the PEDS pulmonary function unit (MAS, Hatfield, PA) for the oscillometry and LMS analyses, respectively. Each piglet was measured with each device under two conditions: baseline (pre) and after intervention (post).

Following baseline measures, bethanechol was used as the intervention to pharmacologically induce bronchoconstriction and subsequent elevated airway resistance. Bethanechol powder (carbamyl- β -methylcholine, Sigma-Aldrich) was mixed with saline (5 mg of bethanechol : 1 mL of saline) and administered as two intravenous injections at a dose of 1 mg/kg of bethanechol chloride in 0.2 mL/kg of saline set 10 min apart to achieve an increase in the respiratory resistance. Decay in the elevated resistance was compensated with an infusion of 1 mg/kg/hr of bethanechol chloride started

through the venous line following the initial bolus. This dosing regimen was adapted from a previously described piglet protocol [30]. To compare the two methodologies described herein, we were interested in establishing a large range of pulmonary changes (baseline to maximum dose response without evoking significant cardiovascular changes) instead of a standard dose response assessment with small pulmonary changes.

Bethanechol bolus and maintenance dose were given as described above. Piglets were observed until stable bronchoconstriction was assumed (3–5 min after the second dose) when resistance increased at least 40% from baseline. Post-intervention LMS measurements were obtained, followed by IOS measurements, to prevent any unfavorable effect from the impulses on LMS parameters. Parameters of interest were documented for each technique, each time in the same sequence.

2.4. Statistics. Continuous variables were summarized overall by mean and standard error of the mean (SEM), unless indicated otherwise. All assumptions were tested for normality; in the case of violation of any assumption, nonparametric tests were performed. The delta changes were compared using paired *t*-test for the dependent continuous normal variables or Wilcoxon signed rank test for the nonnormal dependent variables, testing for positive mean or median differences, respectively. Significance was set at alpha level of 0.05 (1-sided). A comparative analysis of agreement between the two methods was detailed for the pharmacological challenge using the Bland-Altman plot analysis; the bias, or the average of the differences, and the 95% limits of agreement (LOA) were reported for all frequencies along with their linear regression analysis (r^2 , slope, and *P* values). Statistical analysis was done using a combination of statistical software packages (GraphPad Prism version 5.02 for Windows; GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA, and SPSS version 19; IBM, Chicago, IL, USA).

3. Results

In this animal model, measurements of respiratory mechanics were possible, and detection of an increase in resistance was achieved with both systems after bethanechol administration in seven of the piglets. One animal died during the postbethanechol measurements (post) secondary to cardiac arrest. Following intravenous (IV) administration of bethanechol, a small but statistically significant decrease was seen in heart rate (mean \pm SD, 151 ± 12 versus 133 ± 6 , -12% , $P = 0.03$) and arterial pressure (mean \pm SD, 79 ± 5 versus 67 ± 5 , -15% , $P = 0.002$) for the remaining 7 piglets; although these changes were not physiologically relevant for hemodynamic instability, there were no significant changes in respiratory rate, tidal volume, and minute ventilation following bethanechol administration.

Respiratory mechanics measurements showed a significant increase in dynamic pulmonary resistance measured by the LMS ($+53\%$, $P = 0.003$) following bethanechol administration (Figure 2). Pressure-volume loops also verified that,

when compared with baseline, bethanechol administration resulted in increased pressure requirements as well as a widening and decrease in the slope of the pressure-volume loops.

Following bethanechol administration, a significant overall increase in the respiratory resistance measured by IOS was demonstrated by positive changes in R_{rs} from 10 to 25 Hz ($+96\%$, $P = 0.018$; Figure 3). Significant increases in specific respiratory resistances were demonstrated by positive changes in the intermediate frequency spectrum (frequencies: $R_{rs\ 10\ Hz}$ [$+106\%$, $P = 0.031$], $R_{rs\ 15\ Hz}$ [$+96\%$, $P = 0.013$], $R_{rs\ 20\ Hz}$ [$+95\%$, $P = 0.026$], and $R_{rs\ 25\ Hz}$ [$+85\%$, $P = 0.037$]). No statistically significant differences between the pre-bethanechol (pre) and postbethanechol (post) outcomes for any remaining frequencies were observed: $R_{rs\ 3\ Hz}$ ($+67\%$, $P = 0.131$), $R_{rs\ 5\ Hz}$ ($+67\%$, $P = 0.09$), and $R_{rs\ 35\ Hz}$ ($+95\%$, $P = 0.084$), and the resistance spectrum of R_{rs} demonstrated increased positive frequency dependence (Figure 4).

The Bland-Altman analysis demonstrated that 95% of the differences between methods (IOS and LMS) lay within ± 1.96 SD from the mean difference indicated by the LOA. Overall, there was a consistent linear sloped trend with a systematic bias (negative bias presented at lower values and positive bias presented at higher values of the measurement range) across the Bland-Altman plots, but within the LOA (Figure 5); this effect becomes less biased towards lower frequencies (Figures 5(a) and 5(b)) as do the slopes of the linear regression analysis.

4. Discussion

In the present study, we demonstrated that both respiratory function techniques assessed the effects of intravenous bethanechol on the respiratory system. Our results showed that delta changes of oscillometric resistances in the middle frequency range ($R_{rs\ 10}$, 106% ; $R_{rs\ 15}$, 96% ; $R_{rs\ 20}$, 95% ; $R_{rs\ 25}$, 85%) were higher than LMS dynamic pulmonary resistance (R , 53%).

The smooth muscle of the respiratory tract has both parasympathetic and sympathetic innervations; carbamyl- β -methylcholine (bethanechol) is a choline ester and an agonist of muscarinic receptors that stimulates these receptors on the smooth muscle of the respiratory tract, causing airway narrowing and perhaps tissue constriction. Bethanechol belongs to the same group of drugs as acetylcholine, carbachol, and methacholine [39]. The piglet model used in this study was chosen based on its comparable size to the neonate/pediatric human and its common use for the investigation of ventilatory therapies [31–37].

In addition, bethanechol-induced airway challenges have been previously reported as an appropriate experimental intervention in the laboratory [40–44], and similar analogs (i.e., methacholine) have been used for clinical research purposes [45–47]. In humans, bethanechol is approved only for oral administration use; in veterinary medicine, it is available as an injection for subcutaneous (SC), intramuscular, or IV administration. Cardiac arrest is a severe, rare adverse reaction documented in humans, and in animals, it is a likely




FIGURE 2: Plots of mean values for dynamic pulmonary resistance (resistance) before (pre) and after (post) bethanechol for each piglet. $P = 0.003$, paired t -test one tailed.




FIGURE 3: Plots of mean values for respiratory resistance (R_{rs}) from 10 Hz to 25 Hz before (pre) and after (post) bethanechol for each piglet. $P = 0.018$, paired t -test one tailed for the average.

severe cholinergic reaction if given IV or in overdosage. It is recommended that atropine be immediately available when given IV or SC [48–51].

After bethanechol administration, a greater percentage of change was seen in airway resistance mainly represented by $R_{rs10} - R_{rs25}$ (+106–85%) when compared with the lung resistance mostly represented by $R_{rs3} - R_{rs5}$ (+69–63%), indicating a greater nonperipheral airway constriction response than peripheral airway/lung tissue response. Regarding the lung tissue contribution versus airway contributions to the total respiratory resistance, differences in the degree of tissue versus airway contribution exist between species [52, 53], including humans [54], and within induced-physiological and pharmacological conditions [44]; thus, caution should be taken in translating these findings between species.

It is widely recognized that the use of an esophageal balloon for resistance measurements separates the lung and chest wall component, therefore, excluding the chest wall resistance [4], while R_{rs} includes the resistance of the chest




FIGURE 4: Plots of mean (\pm SEM) values for respiratory resistance (R_{rs}), as a function of oscillatory frequency, before (pre) and after (post) bethanechol ($n = 7$). As shown, significant differences in the middle oscillatory frequencies range are displayed (* $P < 0.05$); paired t -test one tailed at each frequency and overall ($P = 0.0002$).

wall (i.e., lung resistance) and airway resistance. The lower the specific oscillatory frequency is, the more sensitive the measurement is to the periphery of the respiratory system. Measurement of the resistance using methods that require placement of an esophageal balloon for respiratory function testing procedures to assess the respiratory system in neonates is not easy. Noninvasive respiratory function techniques (i.e., impulse oscillometry) require less patient cooperation and provide more information about the total respiratory system resistance [19].

We also demonstrated that no pause in breathing was necessary for recording reliable data at low frequencies for R_{rs} using the impulse oscillation technique in neonatal piglets spontaneously breathing through an ETT. In this regard, the Hering-Breuer inflation reflex and face mask were not used in our study in comparison to previously cited studies in un-sedated neonates/infants [28, 29].

The Bland-Altman analysis showed good agreement between the two techniques (IOS and LMS) for measuring resistance properties, and the agreement between these techniques depended on the oscillation frequency. From a mechanistic view point, R measured by the LMS in the study represents resistance of the lung tissue and airway frictional mechanical properties, whereas R_{rs} measured by IOS represents total respiratory resistance, so the two techniques are not directly interchangeable at lower frequencies. However, as mentioned in Section 3, the bias and slope decrease towards lower frequencies. In this regard, respiratory resistance at 5 Hz (R_{rs5}) and respiratory resistance at 3 Hz (R_{rs3}) may be closely interchangeable in the appropriate settings. However, the difference of ± 1.96 SD from the mean difference for R_{rs35} (Figure 5(g)) was demonstrated to be unacceptable for interchangeability.




FIGURE 5: Bland-Altman plots constructed from the least mean square (LMS) and impulse oscillometry (IOS) data at each specific frequency (percentage of change) with mean (bias), \pm LOA, and best-fit values of linear regression (slope, r^2 , P value) after administration of intravenous bethanechol. LOA, limit of agreement; Upper LOA, mean difference (bias) + 1.96 SD; Lower LOA, mean difference (bias) - 1.96 SD; r^2 , R squares. The P value is testing the null hypothesis that the overall slope is zero.

Like many preliminary studies, the present study has some experimental limitations. Along these lines, the current study is an experimental preclinical model comparing the IOS and the LMS approach as an indicator of airway reactivity behavior to a pharmacologic intervention in a small number of animals. Additional work is required in the performance, reproducibility, and safety of the oscillation technique, including validation and qualification as a biomarker, before it can be proposed for standard clinical practice in the neonatal population.

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, we documented significant airway reactivity using both respiratory function techniques and demonstrated the magnitude of the change in airway resistance component at baseline and after administration of intravenous bethanechol. In a similar scenario, the measurements of respiratory resistance from the impulse oscillation technique (from 3 Hz to 25 Hz) may be used in lieu of the dynamic pulmonary resistance measurements from the LMS technique to determine resistance changes secondary to induced airway reactivity during spontaneous breaths.

The IOS technique as a noninvasive means of evaluating the different components of the respiratory system and pulmonary mechanics in the neonatal setting is very appealing; it gives further information through a range of resistance values representing the airway, tissue, and chest mechanical properties. However, further modification in the technique setup, incorporation of the appropriate reference values for the neonatal population, and collaboration with experienced physicians and scientists in respiratory physiology/respiratory function techniques are required before broader clinical use is pursued in the neonatal population.

Funding

This study was funded through Nemours Research Programs/Nemours Foundation, NIH COBRE Grant no. 8 P20 GM103464 (T. H. Shaffer), and NIH Grant no. 5T32 GM008562-17 (E. Rodriguez) pediatric pharmacology fellow funded by NICHD under the Best Pharmaceuticals for Children Act. This work was performed at the Nemours/Alfred I. duPont Hospital for Children.

Acknowledgment

The authors thank Ms. Anne Heseck for her assistance with the animal surgical preparation.

References

- [1] V. K. Bhutani, E. M. Sivieri, S. Abbasi, and T. H. Shaffer, "Evaluation of neonatal pulmonary mechanics and energetics: a two factor least mean square analysis," *Pediatric Pulmonology*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 150–158, 1988.
- [2] C. S. Beardsmore, P. Helms, J. Stocks, D. J. Hatch, and M. Silverman, "Improved esophageal balloon technique for use in infants," *Journal of Applied Physiology Respiratory Environmental and Exercise Physiology*, vol. 49, no. 4, pp. 735–742, 1980.
- [3] A. Baydur, P. K. Behrakis, and W. A. Zin, "A simple method for assessing the validity of the esophageal balloon technique," *American Review of Respiratory Disease*, vol. 126, no. 5, pp. 788–791, 1982.
- [4] V. K. Bhutani, T. H. Shaffer, and D. Vidyasagar, Eds., *Neonatal Pulmonary Function Testing: Physiological, Technical and Clinical Considerations*, Perinatology Press, Ithaca, NY, USA, 1988.
- [5] L. S. Goldsmith, J. S. Greenspan, S. D. Rubenstein, M. R. Wolfson, and T. H. Shaffer, "Immediate improvement in lung volume after exogenous surfactant: alveolar recruitment versus increased distention," *Journal of Pediatrics*, vol. 119, no. 3, pp. 424–428, 1991.
- [6] A. B. Dubois, A. W. Brody, D. H. Lewis, and B. F. Burgess Jr., "Oscillation mechanics of lungs and chest in man," *Journal of Applied Physiology*, vol. 8, no. 6, pp. 587–594, 1956.
- [7] H. J. Smith, P. Reinhold, and M. D. Goldman, "Forced oscillation technique and impulse oscillometry," *European Respiratory Monograph*, vol. 31, pp. 72–105, 2005.
- [8] H. Bisgaard and B. Klug, "Lung function measurement in awake young children," *European Respiratory Journal*, vol. 8, no. 12, pp. 2067–2075, 1995.
- [9] C. Delacourt, H. Lorino, M. Herve-Guillot, P. Reinert, A. Harf, and B. Housset, "Use of the forced oscillation technique to assess airway obstruction and reversibility in children," *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*, vol. 161, no. 3, part 1, pp. 730–736, 2000.
- [10] F. M. Ducharme and G. M. Davis, "Respiratory resistance in the emergency department: a reproducible and responsive measure of asthma severity," *Chest*, vol. 113, no. 6, pp. 1566–1572, 1998.
- [11] B. Klug and H. Bisgaard, "Measurement of lung function in awake 2–4-year-old asthmatic children during methacholine challenge and acute asthma: a comparison of the impulse oscillation technique, the interrupter technique, and transcutaneous measurement of oxygen versus whole-body plethysmography," *Pediatric Pulmonology*, vol. 21, no. 5, pp. 290–300, 1996.
- [12] B. Klug and H. Bisgaard, "Repeatability of methacholine challenges in 2- to 4-year-old children with asthma, using a new technique for quantitative delivery of aerosol," *Pediatric Pulmonology*, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 278–286, 1997.
- [13] B. Klug and H. Bisgaard, "Specific airway resistance, interrupter resistance, and respiratory impedance in healthy children aged 2–7 years," *Pediatric Pulmonology*, vol. 25, no. 5, pp. 322–331, 1998.
- [14] B. Klug and H. Bisgaard, "Lung function and short-term outcome in young asthmatic children," *European Respiratory Journal*, vol. 14, no. 5, pp. 1185–1189, 1999.
- [15] B. Klug, K. G. Nielsen, and H. Bisgaard, "Observer variability of lung function measurements in 2–6-yr-old children," *European Respiratory Journal*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 472–475, 2000.
- [16] D. Stănescu, N. E. Moavero, C. Veriter, and L. Brasseur, "Frequency dependence of respiratory resistance in healthy children," *Journal of Applied Physiology Respiratory Environmental and Exercise Physiology*, vol. 47, no. 2, pp. 268–272, 1979.
- [17] E. Oostveen, D. MacLeod, H. Lorino et al., "The forced oscillation technique in clinical practice: methodology, recommendations and future developments," *European Respiratory Journal*, vol. 22, no. 6, pp. 1026–1041, 2003.
- [18] J. J. Pillow, P. D. Sly, and Z. Hantos, "Monitoring of lung volume recruitment and derecruitment using oscillatory mechanics

- during high-frequency oscillatory ventilation in the preterm lamb," *Pediatric Critical Care Medicine*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 172–180, 2004.
- [19] E. Rodriguez, M. B. Bober, L. Davey et al., "Respiratory mechanics in an infant with perinatal lethal hypophosphatasia treated with human recombinant enzyme replacement therapy," *Pediatric Pulmonology*, vol. 47, pp. 917–922, 2012.
- [20] U. Frey, M. Silverman, R. Kraemer, and A. C. Jackson, "High-frequency respiratory impedance measured by forced-oscillation technique in infants," *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*, vol. 158, no. 2, pp. 363–370, 1998.
- [21] K. N. Desager, W. Buhr, M. Willemen et al., "Measurement of total respiratory impedance in infants by the forced oscillation technique," *Journal of Applied Physiology*, vol. 71, no. 2, pp. 770–776, 1991.
- [22] K. N. Desager, M. Cauberghs, J. Naudts, and K. P. van de Woestijne, "Influence of upper airway shunt on total respiratory impedance in infants," *Journal of Applied Physiology*, vol. 87, no. 3, pp. 902–909, 1999.
- [23] K. N. Desager, M. Willemen, H. P. Van Bever, W. De Backer, and P. A. Vermeire, "Evaluation of nasal impedance using the forced oscillation technique in infants," *Pediatric Pulmonology*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 1–7, 1991.
- [24] U. Frey, "Forced oscillation technique in infants and young children," *Paediatric Respiratory Reviews*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 246–254, 2005.
- [25] G. L. Hall, Z. Hantos, J. H. Wildhaber, F. Peták, and P. D. Sly, "Methacholine responsiveness in infants assessed with low frequency forced oscillation and forced expiration techniques," *Thorax*, vol. 56, no. 1, pp. 42–47, 2001.
- [26] C. J. Lanteri and P. D. Sly, "Changes in respiratory mechanics with age," *Journal of Applied Physiology*, vol. 74, no. 1, pp. 369–378, 1993.
- [27] T. H. Shaffer, V. K. Bhutani, M. R. Wolfson, R. B. Penn, and N. N. Tran, "In vivo mechanical properties of the developing airway," *Pediatric Research*, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 143–146, 1989.
- [28] G. L. Hall, Z. Hantos, F. Petak et al., "Airway and respiratory tissue mechanics in normal infants," *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*, vol. 162, no. 4, part 1, pp. 1397–1402, 2000.
- [29] J. J. Pillow, J. Stocks, P. D. Sly, and Z. Hantos, "Partitioning of airway and parenchymal mechanics in unsedated newborn infants," *Pediatric Research*, vol. 58, no. 6, pp. 1210–1215, 2005.
- [30] T. L. Miller, C. J. Singhaus, T. I. Sherman, J. S. Greenspan, and T. H. Shaffer, "Physiologic implications of helium as a carrier gas for inhaled nitric oxide in a neonatal model of Bethanechol-induced bronchoconstriction," *Pediatric Critical Care Medicine*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 159–164, 2006.
- [31] E. A. Mates, J. Hildebrandt, J. C. Jackson, P. Tarczy-Hornoch, and M. P. Hlastala, "Shunt and ventilation-perfusion distribution during partial liquid ventilation in healthy piglets," *Journal of Applied Physiology*, vol. 82, no. 3, pp. 933–942, 1997.
- [32] M. A. Enrione, M. C. Papo, C. L. Leach et al., "Regional pulmonary blood flow during partial liquid ventilation in normal and acute oleic acid-induced lung-injured piglets," *Critical Care Medicine*, vol. 27, no. 12, pp. 2716–2723, 1999.
- [33] D. M. Steinhorn, M. C. Papo, A. T. Rotta, A. Aljada, B. P. Fuhrman, and P. Dandona, "Liquid ventilation attenuates pulmonary oxidative damage," *Journal of Critical Care*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 20–28, 1999.
- [34] S. Wolf, H. Lohbrunner, T. Busch et al., "'Ideal PEEP' is superior to high dose partial liquid ventilation with low PEEP in experimental acute lung injury," *Intensive Care Medicine*, vol. 27, no. 12, pp. 1937–1948, 2001.
- [35] R. K. M. Krishnan, P. A. Meyers, C. Worwa, R. Goertz, G. Schauer, and M. C. Mammel, "Standardized lung recruitment during high frequency and conventional ventilation: similar pathophysiologic and inflammatory responses in an animal model of respiratory distress syndrome," *Intensive Care Medicine*, vol. 30, no. 6, pp. 1195–1203, 2004.
- [36] J. L. Nold, P. A. Meyers, C. T. Worwa et al., "Decreased lung injury after surfactant in piglets treated with continuous positive airway pressure or synchronized intermittent mandatory ventilation," *Neonatology*, vol. 92, no. 1, pp. 19–25, 2007.
- [37] A. L. Lampland, P. A. Meyers, C. T. Worwa, E. C. Swanson, and M. C. Mammel, "Gas exchange and lung inflammation using nasal intermittent positive-pressure ventilation versus synchronized intermittent mandatory ventilation in piglets with saline lavage-induced lung injury: an observational study," *Critical Care Medicine*, vol. 36, no. 1, pp. 183–187, 2008.
- [38] T. L. Miller, T. J. Blackson, T. H. Shaffer, and S. M. Touch, "Tracheal gas insufflation-augmented continuous positive airway pressure in a spontaneously breathing model of neonatal respiratory distress," *Pediatric Pulmonology*, vol. 38, no. 5, pp. 386–395, 2004.
- [39] J. L. Schlatter and J. L. Saulnier, "Bethanechol chloride oral solutions: stability and use in infants," *Annals of Pharmacotherapy*, vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 294–296, 1997.
- [40] E. N. Keklikian, M. R. Wolfson, and T. H. Shaffer, "Caffeine potentiates airway responsiveness in the neonatal lamb," *Pediatric Pulmonology*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 17–22, 1992.
- [41] V. K. Bhutani, R. J. Koslo, and T. H. Shaffer, "The effect of tracheal, smooth muscle tone on neonatal airway collapsibility," *Pediatric Research*, vol. 20, no. 6, pp. 492–495, 1986.
- [42] R. B. Penn, M. R. Wolfson, and T. H. Shaffer, "Effect of tracheal smooth muscle tone on collapsibility of immature airways," *Journal of Applied Physiology*, vol. 65, no. 2, pp. 863–869, 1988.
- [43] R. B. Penn, M. R. Wolfson, and T. H. Shaffer, "Influence of smooth muscle tone and longitudinal tension on the collapsibility of immature airways," *Pediatric Pulmonology*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 132–138, 1988.
- [44] H. B. Panitch, I. Talmaciu, J. Heckman, M. R. Wolfson, and T. H. Shaffer, "Quantitative bronchoscopic assessment of airway collapsibility in newborn lamb tracheae," *Pediatric Research*, vol. 43, no. 6, pp. 832–839, 1998.
- [45] H. B. Panitch, E. N. Keklikian, R. A. Motley, M. R. Wolfson, and D. V. Schidlow, "Effect of altering smooth muscle tone on maximal expiratory flows in patients with tracheomalacia," *Pediatric Pulmonology*, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 170–176, 1990.
- [46] S. M. Stick, D. J. Turner, and P. N. LeSouëf, "Lung function and bronchial challenges in infants: repeatability of histamine and comparison with methacholine challenges," *Pediatric Pulmonology*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 177–183, 1993.
- [47] C. Delacourt, M. R. Benoist, S. Waernessyckle et al., "Repeatability of lung function tests during methacholine challenge in wheezy infants," *Thorax*, vol. 53, no. 11, pp. 933–938, 1998.
- [48] J. L. Schlatter and J. L. Saulnier, "Bethanechol chloride oral solutions: stability and use in infants," *Annals of Pharmacotherapy*, vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 294–296, 1997.
- [49] L. V. Allen Jr. and M. A. Erickson, "Stability of bethanechol chloride, pyrazinamide, quinidine sulfate, rifampin, and tetracycline

- hydrochloride in extemporaneously compounded oral liquids,” *American Journal of Health-System Pharmacy*, vol. 55, no. 17, pp. 1804–1809, 1998.
- [50] M. C. Nahata, V. B. Pai, and T. F. Hipple, Eds., *Pediatric Drug Formulations*, Harvey Whitney Books, Cincinnati, Ohio, USA, 5th edition, 2004.
- [51] D. C. Plumb, *Veterinary Drug Handbook*, Iowa State Press, St. Paul, Minn, USA, 4th edition, 2002.
- [52] Z. Hantos, B. Daroczy, B. Suki, and S. Nagy, “Low-frequency respiratory mechanical impedance in the rat,” *Journal of Applied Physiology*, vol. 63, no. 1, pp. 36–43, 1987.
- [53] Z. Hantos, B. Daroczy, B. Suki, S. Nagy, and J. J. Fredberg, “Input impedance and peripheral inhomogeneity of dog lungs,” *Journal of Applied Physiology*, vol. 72, no. 1, pp. 168–178, 1992.
- [54] B. G. Ferris Jr., J. Mead, and L. H. Opie, “Partitioning of respiratory flow resistance in man,” *Journal of Applied Physiology*, vol. 19, pp. 653–658, 1964.