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Population Health: Where’s the Beef?

David B. Nash, MD, MBA

In December 2014, I had the opportunity to give a closing plenary presentation—entitled “Population Health: Where’s the Beef?”—at the Population Health Forum, the annual event sponsored by the Population Health Alliance in Washington, DC. I’d like to share aspects of that presentation with you.

To close the Population Health Forum, I decided to pose 7 questions and then attempt to answer them in turn. The first question was, “What exactly is population health?”

Because ours is the only School of Population Health, we, of course, know the correct answer! I challenged the audience to think about population health in both an academic and pragmatic framework. I asked them whether population health is a Clayton Christensen disruptive innovation or a Michael Porter “bridge to value.” Or is it really a defensive posture, à la Ram Charan, to prevent us from becoming obsolete? Perhaps it is none of the above.

I noted that the academic definition ties back to colleagues such as David Kindig, now working at the Institute for Population Health at the University of Wisconsin in Madison. David is sometimes regarded as the “father” of our movement. He emphasized the social determinants of health in the definition of population health and insisted that medical care is only responsible for 15%–20% of society’s well-being.

On the pragmatic side, I noted that current thinking from organizations such as Humana and others is that population health is focused on improving many metrics within a given population. For example, Humana’s enterprise goal states that they will improve the health of the populations they serve by 20% by the year 2020. The pragmatic definition also is focused on appropriate management of economic risks for clinical decision making. I closed the first question by describing an equation from Mark McClellan: If each primary care doctor cares for 2000 patients, and each patient consumes close to $5000 per year of resources, and each doctor is driving nearly $10 million of spending, that would mean that a primary care group composed of 100 physicians would be driving nearly $1 billion of health care spending. That makes each medical group an important economic engine in the context of asking the question, “What is population health?”.

My second question was, “What are the ingredients of success in the accountable world?”

I noted that, in my opinion, leadership is always the key attribute that drives the success of complex organizations. If one looks at recent data from the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, 22 of the 29 Accountable Care Organizations that made money in 2014 were led by physicians. Physician leadership per se does not guarantee a positive margin, but there is a tight correlation. I spoke of certain managed care organizations in the late 1990s during the ascendency of managed care in California. Those successful organizations that are still with us in 2015 have a major commitment to leadership and the creation of a real culture of practice.

Other attributes that augur success included a robust information technology infrastructure, beyond electronic medical records promoted by companies like Epic Systems. What I noted was the need for a registry function, enabling primary care doctors, especially, to self-evaluate and improve. I spoke of companies like Anvita, Anceta, Phytel, NetOrange, CrossCurrent, and others.

Other attributes that augur success included a willingness to “stick to the knitting.” For example, companies such as Healthgrades can tell us today how to manage the preoperative and postoperative care of patients undergoing hip and knee replacement surgery. The organizational and leadership question remains: Are we mature enough to use this information effectively to drive improvement in clinical outcomes, even if it means a change in the local culture of practice? Finally, I noted that despite the call for leadership, recent work by colleagues such as Ezekiel Emanuel and others gives us pause as they discovered that most physicians are not currently engaged in the hard work of reform, and in fact they don’t, generally speaking, consider the cost of medical care in their day-to-day work.

The third question I posed was, “What should we be saying to our employer colleagues?”

I laid out a 5-point plan that called for the delivery system to engage with employees, other providers, payers, and other corporations, and with their communities. I noted that by investing in companies that promote prevention and wellness, we will not only improve our own bottom line, but we will send a strong cultural statement that we put our money where our mouth is. Here, I referred to the work of our colleagues, Ronald Goetzel and Ray Fabius. I admonished the audience to divest funds from companies that don’t practice prevention and to actively seek organizations that publicly address this issue. In so doing, they may also improve their own return from an equity investment.
My fourth question was, “What changes will we need to make in health sciences education to produce leaders for the future?”

Here, I focused largely on the work of our own school and our 4 master’s degrees, our various certificate programs, and our work across the board to disseminate the tenets of population health.

I made mention of our commitment to medical education; in particular, our work with colleagues at the Association of American Medical Colleges in Washington, DC and the American College of Medical Quality (ACMQ) in Bethesda, MD. For example, our school recently hosted the ACMQ annual education conference for medical students and other trainees, a program that garnered an audience of more than 50 medical students from a dozen medical schools. This was a clear demonstration of young persons’ interest in the science of systems thinking, process improvement, care coordination, and error reduction.

I explained to the audience that while we move the population health agenda forward, we must not lose sight of the fact that medical error remains a very important challenge and that, by all accounts, errors are responsible for hundreds of thousands of deaths in our country.

My fifth question was, “What will population health leaders of the future look like?”

Based on aspects of my ongoing work with my colleague, Rita Numerof, I noted the evolution of a new senior officer in the delivery system. Specifically, I see the transformation of the hospital-based medical director to the vice president for medical affairs, to the chief medical officer, and today, to the chief population health officer (CPHO). I noted leaders around the country with population health in their title, such as Timothy Ferris at Partners in Boston, Marc Gourevitch at New York University, and Ken Kizer at University of California, Davis. I asked, what are the attributes and competencies of a CPHO? Of course, a CPHO must have not only leadership experience but core competencies in fields that resonate with our school, such as behavioral economics, epidemiology, process improvement, and care coordination, among others.

Finally, I admonished the audience to note that the boards of trustees of most currently structured delivery systems may not be adequately prepared for the challenge of population health. Specifically, governance of delivery systems may not be adequately prepared for the challenge of population health. I called for the continuation of our legacy of advocacy and wondered why the US government took so long to endorse a surgeon general—one like Vivek Murthy who is committed to reducing violence from firearms. I thought social activism among physicians, led by persons like Michael Stillman at the University of Louisville, is important as we return to our core professional roots.

I asked that additional organizations be brought “into the tent,” such as the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation, which has recently realigned all of its philanthropic efforts toward building a culture of health. Similarly, the Institute for Healthcare Improvement and their new effort to improve the physician-specific outcome measures that are more comprehensive than the Physician Quality Reporting System available online 24/7. I made note of the work of our colleague Tom Lee at Press Ganey and some of the progress they have made toward physician-specific accountability for improved patient communication at the University of Utah and elsewhere. With complete transparency and accountability, we will create a true marketplace enabling the retail model to function.

I warned the audience that this was a provocative prediction, but I am confident that research from colleagues like Joe Kvedar and others will help us see the pathway toward true transparency.

My final question was, “What do we need to do together to advance this field?”

First, I suggested that the audience do some background reading. Work from Sarah Varney in her lead article in the November 2014 Politico Magazine and the dreadful inequalities of medical care in states like Mississippi; the entire November 2014 issue of the Harvard Business Review, focused on the internet of things; and finally the entire October 22/29, 2014 issue of JAMA, devoted to rising health care costs. Once one gets a grounding with these references, we can more clearly visualize the road ahead.

I believe that this road will be characterized by engaging with other organizations, most especially the business community. I see groups like HERO, the National Business Coalition on Health, and even Sanofi Aventis (with their special emphasis on diabetes care), as partners in the work of the Population Health Alliance.

I asked the audience to join our school by submitting their work for consideration of publication in our journal, and I noted, once again, that solid research helps to “raise all boats” and disseminate progress in our field.

I called for the continuation of our legacy of advocacy and wondered why the US government took so long to endorse a surgeon general—one like Vivek Murthy who is committed to reducing violence from firearms. I thought social activism among physicians, led by persons like Michael Stillman at the University of Louisville, is important as we return to our core professional roots.

I asked that additional organizations be brought “into the tent,” such as the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation, which has recently realigned all of its philanthropic efforts toward building a culture of health. Similarly, the Institute for Healthcare Improvement and their new effort to improve 100 million lives ought to be welcomed under the population health “tent.”

Finally, I highlighted a recent press release that was the culmination of nearly a year and a half of work between the Population Health Alliance and the Jefferson School of Population Health. We hope to promote the dissemination of educational offerings, joint exploration of possible external funding from grants, and the desire to expand the membership of the Population Health Alliance.

Based on feedback that I received both in person and online, my 7 questions stimulated the audience to think about our collective future. I hope that our readers will make a renewed commitment to the field of population health and
join us at the journal to improve the well-being of our citizenry.
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