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The high information content of MRI exams brings with it unintended effects, which we call artifacts. The purpose of this review is to promote understanding of these artifacts, so they can be prevented or properly interpreted to optimize diagnostic effectiveness. We begin by addressing static magnetic field uniformity, which is essential for many techniques, such as fat saturation. Eddy currents, resulting from imperfect gradient pulses, are especially problematic for new techniques that depend on high performance gradient switching. Non-uniformity of the transmit radiofrequency system constitutes another source of artifacts, which are increasingly important as magnetic field strength increases. Defects in the receive portion of the radiofrequency system have become a more complex source of problems as the number of radiofrequency coils, and the sophistication of the analysis of their received signals, has increased. Unwanted peripheral signals and noise spikes have many causes, often manifesting as stripes or peripheral artifacts. These image alterations become particularly severe and complex when they are combined with aliasing effects. Aliasing is one of several phenomena addressed in our final section, on artifacts that derive from encoding the MR signals to produce images, also including those related to parallel imaging, chemical shift, motion and image subtraction.
INTRODUCTION

MRI is undoubtedly the most powerful and versatile diagnostic imaging method ever created. With this power, however, comes complexity and some features in an image may be unintended, unexpected and poorly understood. We generally refer to these features as artifacts, since they may lead to interpretive difficulties or errors. The best remedy for MRI artifacts and their potential to produce diagnostic errors is to promote understanding, so that they can be prevented or, alternatively, one can utilize the information content of an artifact to contribute to diagnostic content.

Since artifacts can arise from either the MR system hardware alone or through the interaction of the patient with the hardware we have chosen to present the artifacts firstly through effects due to the static magnetic field, gradients and radiofrequency system, before addressing artifacts related to the encoding of the MR signals. We have primarily concentrated on artifacts relevant to body MRI and acknowledge that we have not provided a comprehensive review of all MRI artifacts. The interested reader is therefore directed to other review articles in the literature (1-6).

$B_0$ ARTIFACTS

Static magnetic field ($B_0$) uniformity is required for all MR imaging. Manufacturing tolerances and the local installation environment means that the main magnetic field needs to be optimized at installation by the
manufacturer who “shims” the magnet either passively, using small pieces of metal distributed around the room temperature bore of the magnet, or actively by adjusting the currents through additional superconducting coils within the magnet cryostat.

Magnetic field uniformity is usually reported as the root mean square (rms) variation from the nominal field strength, e.g. 3.0T, in either parts-per-million (ppm), or as a frequency variation in Hz, over a large number of points within a given diameter spherical volume (DSV). A typical 3.0T manufacturer’s shim would have an rms value of 50Hz or 0.4ppm over a 45cm DSV. Since heterogeneous susceptibility within the human body will affect local magnetic field uniformity, manufacturers also provide methods of patient specific shimming. This involves rapidly mapping the static magnetic field uniformity, usually using a gradient echo based phase difference technique, and adjusting the offsets on the x, y and z gradients to remove any linear field variations (7). Some systems may be equipped with additional room-temperature, i.e. non-superconducting, higher order shim coils that can further improve the uniformity, particularly over small volumes.

**Chemical Shift Selective Fat Saturation**

Uniformity of $B_0$ is important for chemical shift selective fat saturation techniques. Human adipose tissue is composed primarily of triglycerides, which are a subgroup of lipid molecules. Triglycerides comprise multiple groups of protons ($\text{CH}_3$, $\text{CH}_2$, $\text{CH}=\text{CH}$ etc) with the nuclear shielding effect resulting in a range of chemical shifts from 0.9 to 5.3ppm lower than the water ($\text{H}_2\text{O}$) proton resonance. The most abundant triglyceride resonance comes from the methylene ($\text{CH}_2$) groups, in which the protons resonate at
approximately 3.4ppm lower than those in water. Hence at 1.5T the methylene peak appears at 3.4ppm
\* 64MHz = 220Hz lower than the water resonant frequency. Chemical shift selective saturation pulses at
1.5T are therefore centered around -220Hz from water. These pulses have a bandwidth that is sufficiently
narrow so as to avoid saturating the water signal. A typical 1.5T chemical shift saturation pulse has a
bandwidth of approximately 150Hz. Therefore in order to obtain good fat saturation it is necessary that
the main magnetic field not vary by more than 150Hz across the entire field-of-view and across all slices
in a multi-slice acquisition. If this is not the case the fat saturation will be suboptimal.

Magnetic field non-uniformity due to patient susceptibility is particularly problematic at air tissue
interfaces, which are especially abundant in various locations within the chest, including the breast (Figure
1). Failure of fat saturation is almost inevitable when the air tissue interface is oriented along the z-axis of
the magnetic field (8-10). One particularly common example is adipose tissue situated anterior to the
liver, immediately inferior to the lung base (Figure 2). Heterogeneous susceptibility within the patient can
also cause the water frequency to be shifted so that it falls within the bandwidth of the fat saturation
pulse. In this situation the water signal can also become saturated. This effect has also been reported
in contrast-enhanced thoracic angiography (11).

Blood flow along the long axis of the magnet can further compound artifacts related to suboptimal fat
saturation, most typically affecting rapidly flowing blood within the thoracic or abdominal aorta. Since this
fat saturation pulse is not spatially selective the frequency of water above the imaging volume may be
lower so that it matches the frequency of the fat saturation pulse. The saturated water in the aorta may
then flow inferiorly into the region of interest, and appear suppressed on the resulting image (Figure 3). Because of this interaction between magnetic field non-uniformity and blood flow, signal in the aorta is commonly suppressed even if fat saturation otherwise appears optimal elsewhere in a given image. For this reason, fat saturation should be used with caution with bright-blood imaging of the aorta.

**Water/Fat Separation**

Since water and fat precess at different frequencies, their relative signal phases will vary as a function of echo time in a gradient echo sequence. At 1.5T a TE of 1/220Hz = 4.6ms will mean that the signals from water and fat will be in-phase (IP) whilst at half this value, i.e. TE = 2.3ms they will be in opposed-phase (OP) (see Chemical Shift and Cancellation below). Two-point Dixon techniques of fat suppression (12), often referred to as fat separation, involve calculating images consisting of water or fat only from IP and OP images. Two-point Dixon fat separation techniques were initially available mainly on low field, e.g. 0.3T, MRI systems, where fat saturation is particularly difficult due to the smaller chemical shift between water and methylene protons; the shift is proportional to the magnetic field strength, so it is only one fifth the magnitude compared with 1.5 T, i.e. 44 Hz rather than 220 Hz.

In recent years two-point Dixon fat separation techniques have become commercially available alternatives to fat saturation at 1.5T and 3T. However they are also sensitive to B₀ variations and require sophisticated post processing algorithms to ensure that each voxel is correctly assigned as either water or fat (13). Although these algorithms are generally quite robust they can fail in regions of high field non-
uniformity. Figure 4 shows a water only image from a 3D two-point Dixon acquisition demonstrating uncorrected fat swaps in the corners of the image. In the presence of such regions alternative 3-point techniques such as iterative decomposition of water and fat with echo asymmetric and least -squares estimation (IDEAL) (14) can improve fat suppression since the acquisition method utilizes images with three separate echo times to calculate a $B_0$ field map as part of the reconstruction process. This field map helps to correctly categorize each pixel as either water or fat, allowing a more robust correction for susceptibility differences and other causes of magnetic field non-uniformity.

Failure of fat saturation can result not only from $B_0$ non-uniformity, but also from poor transmit field ($B_1^+$) uniformity (see Receive Field below), particularly at 3T and higher. The use of adiabatic pulses that have improved ($B_1^+$) uniformity have also been found to improve fat saturation (15).

**Balanced Steady State Free Precession**

Fully rewound gradient echo sequences, known generically as balanced steady-state-free-precession (bSSFP) (16) but also by vendor-specific terms such as True-FISP (Siemens), b-FFE (Philips) and FIESTA (GE), are also sensitive to $B_0$ non-uniformity. The sensitivity of the bSSFP sequence to field non-uniformity results in spectral “notches” at specific frequency offsets that can result in banding artifacts where there is a rapid phase transition between 0 and $\pi$ in the bSSFP signal (17). The phase of the bSSFP signal alternates between 0 and $\pi$ for every $\pm 2\pi$ of off-resonance phase developed during one TR period. Figure 5 shows an example where the TR is 3.8ms, and therefore a $\pi$ phase change occurs at $\pm \frac{1}{(2*3.8\text{ms})} =$
±131.5Hz which appears as a black band artifact. Banding artifacts can therefore be reduced by using the shortest possible TR, and by improving the magnetic field uniformity through shimming.

Metal

Artifacts related to metal can be minimized by using techniques that are less dependent on high magnetic field uniformity. Spin echo or fast spin echo techniques are less degraded by susceptibility artifact. If a gradient echo technique must be used, minimizing the TE can reduce the size of the resulting signal void near the metallic object, as well as any other artifact from susceptibility. Increasing the sampling (receiver) bandwidth can also reduce the severity of susceptibility artifact, since this increases the magnitude of the frequency differences related to the imaging gradients relative to those due to the metallic object (18). One important method to reduce artifact from metal is to avoid the use of fat saturation.

GRADIENT ARTIFACTS

Eddy Currents

Since the gradient coils are positioned inside the room temperature bore of the magnet, switching of the gradient pulses in an MRI pulse sequence induces currents in the conducting structure of the magnet cryostat. These currents, known as “eddy-currents”, create their own magnetic fields that distort the gradient waveforms, causing a variety of artifacts depending upon the pulse sequence. Manufacturers
work hard to reduce the effects of eddy currents, but this is challenging because higher performance gradients tend to induce greater eddy currents, and many new sophisticated imaging sequences are more sensitive to eddy current induced gradient distortions.

There are two main approaches to reducing eddy currents. The first involves the use of actively or self-shielded gradient coils in which the primary gradient coil is surrounded by a secondary, sometimes referred to as a shield set of coils, at a larger radius (19, 20). The direction of the current in the secondary coils is opposite to that of the primary coil, so that the gradient fields nearly cancel each other at the larger radius of the magnet cryostat. Hence the eddy current inducing fields are substantially reduced. It is necessary for the primary and secondary coils to have a sufficiently large radius ratio so that the field from the secondary coil does not cancel too much of the desired gradient field from the primary coil within the imaging volume.

The second approach to minimize eddy currents, which was the only solution prior to the development of actively-shielded gradients, is to use pre-emphasis (21, 22). This is a method whereby the gradient pulses are deliberately distorted so that when combined with the fields created by the eddy currents the net resultant is the desired gradient waveform. As part of the initial MRI system tuning, the installation engineer measures the magnetic fields created by the eddy currents to determine how the gradient pulses should be distorted, a process known as eddy-current calibration or compensation. Unfortunately the eddy currents created in the cryostat can be very complex and it is not possible, even with actively shielded gradient coils and pre-emphasis, to completely cancel the eddy current fields.
Since the residual eddy currents result from gradient pulses, any changes in gradient pulses, such as varying the strength of the phase encoding gradient from TR to TR, can lead to signal instabilities and hence image artifacts. Large motion encoding gradients such as those used in diffusion-weighted imaging or phase-contrast velocity mapping can suffer from eddy current induced artifacts (23, 24). Fast, or turbo, spin echo imaging sequences can also demonstrate ghosting artifacts due to the effects of eddy currents, as well as other instabilities, causing undesired phase shifts in the echo signals. Various phase correction algorithms have been proposed that attempt to correct these artifacts (25, 26).

**Gradient Non-linearity**

A limitation of all MRI systems is that the magnetic fields produced by the gradient coils are non-linear, particularly at the edges of large fields-of-view. This non-linearity is due to design trade-offs required to achieve the desired system performance. However, the system manufacturer knows how the gradient coils are designed and can therefore correct for these non-linearities by “warping” the reconstructed images (27). Figures 6a and b show the effect of gradient non-linearity on coronal images obtained in a regular grid phantom and in vivo with a 48cm field-of-view. Figures 6c and d show the effect of a software gradient non-linearity correction applied after image reconstruction. Unfortunately, these correction schemes are not completely effective in removing these distortions. While this may not have a significant impact on image review it may be important for accurate quantitative measurements and for precise MR-guided intervention or radiotherapy planning (28). It should also be noted that these correction algorithms not only spatially remap the pixel data but also involve an apparent change in pixel intensity in the warped
regions (28). This may affect the accuracy of calculations that involve measuring signal intensities in these regions.

**RADIOFREQUENCY ARTIFACTS**

**Transmit Field**

The objective of the radiofrequency (RF) transmit system is to create a uniform time-varying magnetic field to tip the net magnetization. This magnetic field is usually referred to as $B_1$, and is applied perpendicular to the static magnetic field $B_0$. Since RF coils are used to transmit and receive $B_1$, the fields are often annotated with a + or - superscript to indicate transmit and receive respectively.

The system body coil is usually used to generate $B_1^+$ since this coil has a large diameter and therefore can produce the most uniform transmit field, i.e., ideally a uniform flip angle across the subject. At 3T, and to a lesser extent at 1.5T, $B_1^+$ non-uniformity artifacts are common. These artifacts, appearing as regions with increased or decreased signal, are caused by constructive or destructive interference between RF standing waves (29). The problem is more severe at higher field strengths because the wavelength of the RF wave decreases as the frequency of $B_0$ increases.

The wavelength $\lambda$ of the RF wave is given by

$$\lambda = \frac{c}{f_0\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \quad [1]$$
Where \( c \) is the speed of light \( (c = 3 \times 10^8 \text{ ms}^{-1}) \), \( f_0 \) is the Larmor frequency and \( \epsilon \) is the dimensionless dielectric (or static relative permittivity) constant of water \( (\epsilon = 81) \). At 1.5T \( (f_0 = 63.9 \times 10^6 \text{ Hz}) \) this results in a wavelength of 52cm, which is larger than the girth of most subjects. However at 3T \( (f_0 = 127.8 \times 10^6 \text{ Hz}) \) the wavelength becomes 26cm. This is of the same order as the girth of the subject and in body imaging can often result in destructive interferences of the transmitted wave resulting in regional hypo-intensities (Figure 7a).

One method used to reduce the signal non-uniformity is to position a dielectric or RF cushion/pad anteriorly and sometimes posteriorly on the body in an attempt to passively change the geometry of the \( B_1^+ \) field distribution and hence change the phase of the RF standing waves \( (30, 31) \) thereby reducing or eliminating the non-uniformity. The pad contains a low conductivity material with a high dielectric constant, typically \( \epsilon > 50 \) together with an appropriate doping agent such that the pad does not appear in the MR images.

Ascites, or other fluid collections within the abdomen, can also give rise to a similar non-uniformity artifact. However, in this case the shading is due to the RF waves creating eddy currents within the fluid that in turn create their own magnetic fields that oppose the RF waves. These fields attenuate the RF waves thereby causing regional signal hypo-intensities \( (32) \).

More recently, particularly with the development of wide bore MRI scanners (patient aperture diameter \( \geq 70\text{cm} \)), manufacturers have implemented a method known as \( B_1^+ \)-shimming, where the two quadrature ports of the body coil are independently driven \( (33) \). A standard body coil is of a birdcage design, which
has two connections to the RF amplifier that are physically 90° apart on the coil. These two body coil ports are driven by the RF amplifier through a “quad-hybrid”, which splits the power into two quadrature, i.e. 90° apart, signals achieving a circularly polarized $B_{1^+}$ excitation (Figure 7b). Circular polarization is highly advantageous because all of the RF power is coupled to the natural direction of nuclear precession. In the case of standing waves however, circular polarization may not be optimal. Various approaches to $B_{1^+}$-shimming adopted by the manufacturers range from setting a fixed, but non-orthogonal, i.e. $\neq 90°$, phase shift between the two channels, to driving each channel independently by two separate RF amplifiers, thereby controlling both the phase and amplitude of the RF signal applied to each port (Figure 7c). It is difficult to decide exactly what phase shift, and possibly relative amplitudes, are required. Some manufacturers use single fixed values, whilst others have derived look-up tables whereby the “optimal” parameters are established on the basis of an individual subject’s height, weight and body area to be scanned. Finally some vendors have implemented $B_{1^+}$-mapping techniques that optimize the parameters on a per subject and per region basis, analogous to the patient specific auto-shimming methods that are currently used to optimize $B_0$ uniformity (34, 35). Figure 7d shows the effect of a dual transmit system in reducing standing wave artifacts in a wide-bore 3.0T system.

**Receive Field**

Whilst birdcage coils have high transmission and reception uniformity, their design does not necessarily lead to the best image signal-to-noise ratio (SNR). SNR is optimized by using coils with a small diameter, although this leads to problems with coverage and depth penetration. These conflicting characteristics of small coils led to the development of coil arrays whereby several small coils are arranged together to
achieve the necessary coverage but with the SNR advantage of having small individual coils (36). Each coil is connected to its own RF receiver chain and the final image is a combination of the individual images from each coil. However, unlike birdcage coils, this causes image intensity to vary with depth, particularly for arrays that encompass a large volume of tissue. Manufacturers offer several methods to mitigate this effect (37), which usually involve taking the ratio of a low resolution image obtained with the coil array and the same low resolution image obtained using the body coil. This allows calculation of a smoothly varying coil sensitivity correction map, which is applied to the array images. It is important to note that an intensity correction algorithm such as this does not compensate for lower SNR in the center of a large body part. This usually manifests as a grainy appearance of the low SNR zone, even if the displayed intensity is adequately corrected.

In addition to the inherent spatial sensitivity of coil arrays, there are occasions when individual coil elements may fail, also causing a shading artifact. Figure 8a shows an image from a 4 channel array coil where the coil in the bottom right corner (arrowed) has failed. Figure 8b shows the same image but with all coil elements working correctly. In this example the shading is obvious, but with a higher number of coil elements in the array, the shading from one failed coil may be subtle.

One method to confirm a faulty coil is to reconstruct the images from each individual coil element. Figure 8c shows an image of a uniform phantom acquired using an 8-channel volume coil with one failed coil element. This manifests in the combined image as a subtle signal hypointensity. Reconstruction of images from each of the individual coils however (Figure 8d) clearly shows absent signal from coil 6 the position of which is consistent with the artifact seen in Figure 8c. Reviewing the signal from all elements is an
important part of a regular coil quality assurance program, as simply checking the overall SNR of the combined coil may not be sensitive enough to detect such a failure.

**Fast Spin Echo Cusp Artifacts**

Artifacts due to peripheral signals, i.e. arising from outside the imaging volume, are sometimes seen in fast spin echo (FSE) images acquired in the sagittal or coronal plane with the phase-encoding direction superior-inferior. The artifact has previously been described as a dot or a “C”-shaped signal region leading to it being called a “cusp” artifact (38). The artifact is due to the RF excitation, and the subsequent detection of signal, from tissue that is outside the linear region of the magnetic field gradients. Gradient non-linearity, combined with $B_0$ non-uniformity, towards the end of the gradient coil, results in the tissue experiencing the same magnetic field as at isocenter (39) (Figure 9a). This point of equal magnetic field, in the superior-inferior direction away from isocenter, is known as the “gradient null” and effectively provides no spatial localization. If an RF array coil element is active in this region the received signal may alias (see Phase Aliasing below), into the imaging volume as a cusp artifact. Alternatively, even if the signal does not directly alias into the imaging volume, system instabilities such as eddy currents or intrinsic FSE signal modulations may cause ghosting of the signal into the imaging volume (40). The bright spot, or star-like, artifact is sometimes referred to as "startifact", whilst the ghosting or ribbon-like artifact is sometimes referred to as "annefact" (41). Figure 9b shows a ribbon-like artifact in a sagittal spine image where the phase encoding direction is aligned along the superior-inferior (SI) direction of the patient to minimize artifacts from respiration in the anterior–posterior direction. Usually no-phase-wrap techniques are employed to avoid tissue signal outside the prescribed FOV from being aliased into the image (see Phase Aliasing below), however they are not effective in eliminating peripheral signal artifacts. A simple solution to avoiding these artifacts is to match the receiver coils to the desired FOV so that
there are no active coils outside the FOV of interest to detect these unwanted peripheral signals, ensuring that they do not get aliased into the final image (41).

**Spike Noise**

Many image artifacts result from undesired RF signals that corrupt the MR data. This undesired RF may arise externally or internally to the MR system. External sources are usually minimized by locating the magnet inside an RF shielded enclosure or cabin. This cabin provides a high degree of immunity from external interference, and prevents leakage of the high power RF from the MR system. However, if there is a problem with this enclosure then external RF can enter the MR receiver system. If this interference is periodic with either single or multiple frequencies then the artifact typically appears as a bright and dark alternating pattern in the phase encoding direction that is often referred to as a zipper artifact.

The periodic RF interference described above should be contrasted with short intense artifacts that affect only single points in k-space, called spike-noise or white pixel noise (42). One or more spikes of detected external noise may produce a patterned degradation of the image. Depending upon where this point occurs in k-space the overall image, after Fourier transformation, will demonstrate a superimposed banding artifact corresponding to that spatial frequency. A spike occurring near the centre of k-space will have a low frequency banding whilst a spike occurring near the edge of k-space will generate a high frequency banding. This cross-hatching appearance is sometimes referred to as a "corduroy" (single spike) or "herringbone" (multiple spikes) artifact. Causes of such artifact can include static electricity from
clothing or blankets, or random noise from electrical sources such as damaged filament light bulbs. Figure 10 shows several examples of severe degradation due to static electricity from an acrylic sweater, which resolved when imaging was resumed following removal of the subject’s sweater.

MR ENCODING ARTIFACTS

Phase Aliasing

MRI allows different FOVs to be selected in the frequency and phase encoding direction. In the frequency encoding direction the FOV and the readout (receiver) bandwidth are selected by the operator. These two pieces of information allow the system to calculate the desired frequency encoding gradient strength. The readout bandwidth information is also used by the system to electronically filter out any frequencies outside of the bandwidth. However, in the phase encoding direction, the signal encoding is achieved through the phase imparted to the spins (43), so there is no direct equivalent to electronic filtering in the frequency encoding direction. The desired phase FOV can also be chosen by the operator but in this case any tissue that exists outside this FOV will be encoded incorrectly. The phase encoding gradient amplitudes are calculated so as to achieve a maximum 360° phase shift across the selected FOV. This means that any tissue beyond the FOV will be phase aliased. For example if the FOV is 30cm then tissue at 32cm will appear at 2cm, i.e., it will appear on the other side of the image.
Usually, phase aliasing is easy to recognize, but the resulting image can be confusing if the aliased body part is completely outside the FOV so that continuity from one side of the image to the other is difficult to recognize. Examples include an arm or hand mimicking a lesion or enhancing vessels in the mediastinum resembling an enhancing tumor in the breast. Figure 11a shows a wrist aliased over the pelvis. A separate scan with a larger FOV (Figure 11b) shows the wrist in its correct position. Simply swapping the phase and frequency directions, so that all the tissue along the phase axis is within the FOV may be sufficient to eliminate these artifacts. However, if there are good reasons to place the direction of the phase encoding axis along the long axis of the patient, e.g. to control the direction of motion artifacts (see Motion below), or if both axes extend beyond the desired FOV then it may be necessary to employ no-phase-wrap techniques. These methods extend the original FOV in the phase encode direction, commonly by a factor of two, whilst commensurately reducing the number of signal averages to maintain the pixel size and signal-to-noise ratio. Tissue signal outside of the extended FOV aliases into the regions of extended FOV and are discarded resulting in the original FOV image being displayed but without any aliasing artifact. However, if the body part is sufficiently large, aliasing may still degrade an image.

With 3D Fourier transform acquisitions, a second orthogonal phase encoding gradient is used to encode slices. Even though the excitation is often slab selective the profile of the excitation slab is not sharp at the edges, which means that some signal will be excited outside the range of the slice axis phase encoding. Therefore data can be aliased in this direction as well as the axis of in-plane phase encoding. Because the aliased structures are at a level distant from the reconstructed image, this form of phase aliasing can be more confusing to understand. Viewing the top and bottom slices can usually clarify this artifact. The severity of slice axis phase aliasing can be reduced by using a receive coil of appropriate size, and positioning it properly. Figure 11c shows an image through the liver in which data from the right kidney,
which is in the periphery of the axial excitation volume (Figure 11d), is aliased into the image. Figure 11e shows that the coil was positioned too low, accentuating the aliasing.

Parallel Imaging

Parallel imaging comprises several methods that use the spatial information from multiple receiver coils to reduce acquisition times. There are two main classes of parallel imaging methods, those that work in the raw data or k-space domain and those that work in the image domain. The most common k-space based techniques are Generalized Autocalibrating Partially Parallel Acquisitions (GRAPPA) (44) and Autocalibrating Reconstruction for Cartesian (ARC) imaging (45), whilst SENSitivity Encoding (SENSE) (46) is the most common image based method. Both techniques derive their speed advantage by sub-sampling k-space such that a reduced number of phase encode steps are acquired. If the overall extent of k-space is unchanged but there is a greater spacing between phase encoding steps, image resolution is maintained but the phase FOV is reduced. As described above, reducing the phase FOV can result in phase aliasing or wrap. In k-space based parallel imaging techniques the coil sensitivity information is used to synthesize the missing lines of k-space so that the resultant images are free from aliasing, whilst in the image based techniques the coil sensitivity information is used to unwrap the images after reconstruction. One typical artifact that is often seen with SENSE type reconstruction is shown in Figure 12a, where phase aliasing was present in the image before parallel imaging was applied (47). In a standard, i.e. non-parallel, imaging acquisition a small amount of wrap-around at the edges of an image, due to a reduced phase FOV, can often be tolerated (Figure 12b). However, in the case of a SENSE-based acquisition and reconstruction such phase aliasing appears in the center of the image and may often cause problems.
in diagnosis, particularly if the artifact is subtle. For this reason, it is especially important to prescribe a large enough phase FOV, to accommodate the anatomy being imaged, whenever SENSE is used.

As described above SENSE parallel imaging implementations require knowledge of the individual coil spatial sensitivities to unwrap the image. These sensitivity maps are generally obtained prior to image acquisition as part of a calibration acquisition. There is therefore an assumption that the coil sensitivity profile does not change between acquisition of the calibration data and the image. However, if the patient or the coils move, the calibration may be suboptimal and incomplete unwrapping may occur, even if the field FOV is large enough. In situations such as these, it may be necessary to repeat the calibration acquisition (Figure 13).

**Chemical Shift Effects**

Since MRI uses magnetic field gradients to spatially localize signals based on their precessional frequency, the natural difference in frequency between the protons in water and fat means that they will be appear spatially shifted in the frequency encoding direction (48, 49). The amount of shift will depend upon the receiver bandwidth per pixel and the chemical shift at the given field strength. A typical receiver bandwidth of ±16kHz over 256 pixels in the frequency encoding direction is equivalent to $32000 \div 256 = 125$Hz/pixel. At 1.5T the chemical shift induced frequency offset is approximately 220Hz (see Chemical Shift Selective Fat Saturation above), so water and fat will be spatially shifted by $\frac{220}{125} = 1.76$ pixels. Reducing the receiver bandwidth will increase the chemical shift offset and vice versa. Note that moving from 1.5T to 3.0T will double the offset for a given bandwidth. Figures 14a and 14b
demonstrate chemical shift misregistration effects in two acquisitions acquired with two different receiver bandwidths.

The frequency difference between water and fat of 220Hz at 1.5T means that these signals are in-phase every time the relative phase evolves by an integral number of cycles. This corresponds to TEs at multiples of 4.6ms, i.e. 4.6ms, 9.2ms, etc (50). Conversely, they are in opposed-phase at the intermediate values 2.3ms, 6.9ms, etc. The result of using a TE where water and fat are out-of-phase is a signal cancellation effect in any voxels that contain both water and fat (Figure 14c). Whilst chemical shift cancellation may be considered an image acquisition artifact its observation is clinically useful for identifying lipid-containing tissues, which should have a signal reduction on out-of-phase imaging compared to in-phase imaging (51). This effect is also used in water/fat separation techniques (see Water/Fat Separation above). Note that the in- and out-of-phase appearance only applies to gradient echo imaging since the refocusing pulse in a spin echo acquisition cancels this phase shift, unless the echo is shifted from the position of perfect refocusing as implemented in Dixon’s original method (12).

Echo Train Blurring

Echo train techniques such as fast or turbo spin echo (FSE/TSE) sequences acquire multiple phase encodings following each excitation, within a single TR. A FSE/TSE sequence comprises a single excitation pulse (90°) followed by a train of refocusing pulses. Each refocusing pulse creates a spin echo and the phase encoding gradients are arranged such that each spin echo signal has a different phase encoding and can therefore be used in the same image k-space, which reduces the overall acquisition time. The operator-defined effective echo time ($TE_{\text{eff}}$) of the sequence dictates which of the multiple echoes has
the smallest phase encoding gradient applied and therefore primarily affects the image contrast. A consequence of echo train imaging is that each of the echoes also undergoes $T_2$ decay during the acquisition. After Fourier transformation the effect of this $k$-space signal decay is to cause blurring along the phase encoding direction, i.e., some of the signal from a single pixel will appear in adjacent pixels (52). For an exponentially decaying signal the blurring can be represented as a Lorentzian point spread function (PSF). The degree of blurring can be estimated, by the full-width-at-half-maximum (FWHM) of the PSF and is given by

$$FWHM = \frac{2 \cdot \Delta y \cdot ETL \cdot ESP}{\pi \cdot T_2} \quad [2]$$

Where $\Delta y$ is the nominal pixel size in the phase encoding direction, ETL is the echo train length, and ESP is the echo spacing. Therefore for a nominal 1mm pixel size a tissue with a $T_2$ of 60ms acquired with an ETL of 32 and an ESP of 5ms will have a FWHM of 1.69mm, i.e. the image will appear blurred in the phase encode direction compared to the nominal resolution. Tissues with short $T_2$ show substantial blur, while the long $T_2$ of simple fluid causes it to have little or no blur. Figure 15 demonstrates how data acquired over an echo train in FSE result in image blurring compared to a single echo conventional spin echo acquisition. Note that although most of the image in Figure 15b is blurry compared to Figure 15a, the CSF in the spinal cord has sharper margins due to its longer $T_2$. It should be noted that in practice the true $k$-space signal modulation and consequent PSF is dependent upon the phase encode ordering used and in particular, when the center of $k$-space is sampled with respect to the other spatial frequencies.
Motion

The MRI acquisition process assumes that the underlying tissue does not change in location or signal intensity during the acquisition. Any changes can cause modulation of the MRI signal, creating artifacts (53, 54). Since a single frequency encoding is very rapid, motion does not generally cause artifacts in the frequency encoding direction. However, the interval between phase encoding steps in a conventional sequence, i.e. every TR, is more temporally commensurate with the rates of physiological motion. Hence motion artifacts, regardless of the direction of the motion, will manifest as artifacts in the phase encoding direction, usually as “ghosting” whereby replicates of the moving structure are propagated along the phase encoding direction. Any type of movement can give rise to such ghosting appearances, including whole body motion, respiration, cardiac motion and blood flow. Many artifact reduction strategies focus on removing the motion (e.g. breath-holding), compensating for the motion (e.g. ECG-triggering, respiratory triggering or reordering phase encoding), suppressing the signal of moving tissue (e.g. spatial saturation (55)), signal averaging (56) or by using rapid imaging techniques. In some situations it may be possible to swap the phase and frequency directions (see Phase Aliasing above) in order to change the direction of the artifact and stop it from overlying a particular area of interest.

Figure 16 shows an example of ghosting due to respiratory motion. Anterior-posterior motion of the chest wall (in-plane) as well as inferior-superior motion of the liver (through-plane) causes a modulation of the MRI signal amplitude with time. After Fourier transformation this modulated signal results in “sidebands” or ghosts, with the spacing of the ghosts proportional to the periodicity of the motion and inversely proportion to the TR (Figure 16a). These sidebands appear as ghosts in the reconstructed image (Figure 16b). Note that with the use of surface coil arrays, the signal from subcutaneous fat is very high
and exacerbates the appearance of the ghosts. In Figure 16c the acquisition is respiratory-triggered so that data is only collected whilst the tissue is relatively stationary. Since there is no amplitude modulation there are no sidebands or ghosts appearing after reconstruction (Figure 16d).

Similar amplitude modulation artifacts result from pulsatile flow in a vessel (57). Although the vessel itself is not moving the changing signal intensity with differential flow related signal enhancement throughout the cardiac cycle result in ghosting artifacts. It should also be noted that any system hardware instabilities can also cause signal modulation and hence ghosting in images.

Another category of motion induced artifact that can cause signal loss and ghosting arises from the phase shifts accumulated by spins moving during the application of the imaging gradients (58). This category of motion artifact can be greatly reduced by using gradient moment nulling techniques, called flow-compensation by some vendors, which involves using more complex gradient waveforms, usually on the slice select and frequency encoding axes, so that the phase accumulated by moving spins is zero, or nulled, at the end of the gradient waveform (59, 60). The simplest form of gradient moment nulling involves correcting the phase shift due to spins moving with a constant velocity, known as the first order moment, which is the largest source of phase errors. Compensating for higher orders of motion, such as acceleration or jerk, requires more complex and longer duration gradient waveforms, meaning that they may cause more problems than they address. It should be noted that even complete correction of gradient moment errors does not affect amplitude modulation, so that ghosting is still likely from pulsatile flow.
Some forms of motion artifact result from changes in tissue position that do not necessarily interfere with the process of phase encoding, and therefore do not produce ghost artifact along the phase encoding axis. As with any method of imaging, including conventional radiography, motion during image acquisition can produce artifacts. For example, image blurring or complex signal may occur when tissue occupies various positions during the period of image acquisition. Figure 17 shows an example where the changing shape of a vessel during image acquisition results in an image that suggests a filling defect.

One common motion-induced artifact results when images are calculated by subtracting one image from another, if the images are not perfectly registered. Subtraction images can be helpful to identify abnormal enhancement of a structure, but it is critical to avoid misdiagnosis due to spatial misregistration artifact (Figure 18).

Sub-second imaging can reduce artifacts from periodic motion that cause ghosting and blurring, but changing intensity due to flow effects can produce inconsistent signal with ascites (Figure 19) or large fluid collections. Additionally, the different flow velocities that occur in different portions of the cardiac cycle can cause inconsistent signal within the same vessel on adjacent image slices.

CONCLUSION

We hope that this review and the accompanying examples have been helpful to MRI clinicians who help establish MR imaging protocols and interpret images, as well as to MRI physicists who are involved in
helping clinicians with their tasks or designing and improving the performance of MRI instruments. The usefulness of MRI will be enhanced as MRI systems and components are designed with increased reliability. We trust that system interfaces can be clarified and matched to MRI technologist training so that an MRI instrument’s potential can more closely be realized. Radiologists, as well as anyone else who may be responsible for interpreting MR images, must improve their ability to recognize and understand artifacts so that they do not lead to diagnostic errors. Furthermore, understanding the nature and source of MRI artifacts can lead an informed radiologist to modify MR imaging protocols and instructions to MRI technologists so that artifacts and associated errors can be avoided in the future.
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